

Highlands Elementary School

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published in 2015-16

Julie Blackburn Principal jblackburn@pittsburg.k12.ca.us

> 4141 Harbor Street Pittsburg, CA 94565

Grades: K-5 Phone: (925) 473-2440 www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/highlands

CDS Code: 07-61788-6004535

Para español, visita: www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us

Pittsburg Unified School District • 2000 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 • www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us

Janet Schulze, Superintendent • jschulze@pittsburg.k12.ca.us • Phone: (925) 473-2300

Pittsburg USD





School Mission Statement

Highlands is committed to "Being Our Best Selves" by the actions we take in teaching, learning and speaking to move our school community toward a future with choice and opportunity.

Principal's Message

At Highlands Elementary School, we celebrate the diversity of our student population. We acknowledge that all students deserve the best possible education.

Highlands Elementary has 21 classes that span from transitional kindergarten to fifth grade and one Counseling Enriched Class for special education grades 3-5. In addition, Highlands houses three special-education preschool classes and one general-education preschool class. Highlands' staff is committed to assuring every student feels respected and nurtured. Several incentive programs are in place to acknowledge exemplary academic behavior. Teachers are becoming increasingly focused on essential Common Core State Standards at each grade level and are implementing teaching strategies that assure student success, not only in English-language arts, but in mathematics as well. Highlands' teachers regularly analyze student-achievement data, collaborate on best practices, and set benchmark goals for student achievement.

We will offer our students a comprehensive, challenging and rigorous education. At Highlands, we value the education of the whole child. It is important that we provide a well-rounded curriculum that emphasizes the creative process in our efforts to expose each student to the reality of the world around them. In addition to the basics of reading, writing, and mathematics, our students will be exposed to the sciences, social studies, and fine arts. We will begin a school garden program this year that engages students in the importance of conservation and sustainability. It is often through the varied interests of our children that they are motivated to excel intellectually. At Highlands Elementary, we will also make every effort to provide our students with a culturally responsive education that reflects the dynamics and diversity of our student population. To address the importance of growing into civic-minded adults, we will continue with a student council to address student needs and actions toward building a positive community.

We are here to serve our community. We are proud and pleased to play a significant role in the lives of the children entrusted to our care.

School Vision Statement

All of Highlands students can learn because of what we do:

- Rigor: Collaborate and implement high-quality instructional practices
- Relationship: Communicate with all stakeholders to build school-to-home connection
- Reflect: Collect and analyze data
- Rewards: Celebrate student successes

Parental Involvement

We have many opportunities for parent involvement. Formal participation opportunities are offered with our active English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) and School Site Council (SSC).

Parents are also encouraged to volunteer in classrooms; attend class field trips; assist in vision and hearing screening, picture day, and book fairs; and help with our Family Literacy Nights and other school activities. Highlands is proud of the partnership we have with our parents.

Highlands Parent Club is very active. There is a parent volunteer present every morning to assist with morning drop-off procedures. The group organizes fundraisers and plans events that make school fun for students.

For more information on how to become involved at our school, please contact our parent liaison at (925) 473-2440.

School Safety

The school safety plan includes information about our school's social climate and physical environment. It also includes the school emergency procedures, the district disaster-preparedness plan, the sexual-harassment policy, child-abuse reporting procedures, and the district Student Rights and Responsibilities Handbook. Parents and visitors may access the school safety plan in our school office. The school safety plan was most recently reviewed, updated and discussed with school faculty in March of 2016.





School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.



Pittsburg Unified Mission Statement

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

Governing Board

Mr. Duane Smith, President
Mr. De'Shawn Woolridge, Vice president
Dr. Laura Canciamilla, Trustee
Mr. George Miller, Trustee
Mr. Joseph Arenivar, Trustee



1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports

2. Powerful Instruction

 Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit "highly qualified" and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

4. Quality Learning Environment

- · High-quality facilities
- · Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- · State-of-the-art technology

5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization


- Fiscal stability and responsible longrange planning
- Comprehensive accountability system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service

6. Meaningful Collaboration, Partnership and Parental Engagement

- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/ guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students' academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff communication

Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size.



Number of Classr	ooms	by Size	Э				Т	hree-Yea	r Data
		2012-13 2013-14						2014-15	
Cyada		Number of Students							
Grade	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
К		3			3			5	
1		5			3			3	
2		3			5			3	
3	1	4			3			4	
4	2	3		2	3				2
5	2	2	1	2	3		1	3	1

Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), Local Education Agency Plan (LEAP) addendum and the sites' Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) are the genesis of selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on student-achievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is focusing professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.

Every teacher participates in three full-release professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers also participate in a structured Collaboration Cycle where they meet with their colleagues to create common lessons that they teach in their classrooms while their colleagues and instructional coaches provide them feedback.


Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available in implementing core curricula, intervention programs, English Language Development, structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics, as a few examples. Teachers fulfill the No Child Left Behind Act requirements for being highly qualified with district support. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.

Professional Development	Days		Three-Year Data
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Highlands ES	3 days	3 days	3 days



Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 555 students for the 2014-15 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.



Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- · Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional Development including ELA and math trainings, cross-cultural language and academic-development examination, ADEPT, Systematic ELD, Lesson Study, data protocol, etc.
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- · Summer programs
- · Credit recovery programs

Suspensions and Expulsions


This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates for the most recent three-year period. Note: Students are only counted one time, regardless of the number of suspensions.

Suspensions a	nd Exp	ulsions						Three-Ye	ar Data
	Hi	ghlands I	ES	Pit	tsburg U	SD		California	ı
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Suspension rates	7.7%	5.7%	4.9%	10.4%	10.8%	7.8%	5.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Expulsion rates	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%



Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2014-15 school year.





"At Highlands Elementary School, we celebrate the diversity of our student population."





California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram® is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. The PFT measures six key fitness areas:

- 1. Aerobic Capacity
- 2. Body Composition
- 3. Flexibility
- 4. Abdominal Strength and Endurance
- 5. Upper Body Strength and Endurance
- Trunk Extensor Strength and Flexibility

Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students meeting the fitness standards of being in the "healthy fitness zone" for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

2014-15 School Year

Grade 5	
Four of six standards	21.4%
Five of six standards	10.7%
Six of six standards	32.0%

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires all schools and districts meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) requirements. California public schools and districts are required to meet or exceed criteria in the following four target areas:

- Participation rate on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Percentage of students scoring proficient on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Attendance rates for schools with enrollment in Transitional Kindergarten through grade eight (TK-8)
- Graduation rate for high schools

The table displays whether or not the school, district, and state met each of the AYP criteria and made overall AYP for 2014-15. For more information, visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay.

Adequate Yearly Pro	ogress Criteria		2014-15 School Year
	Highlands ES	Pittsburg USD	California
Met overall AYP	Yes	No	Yes
Met participation rate:			
English language arts	Yes	No	Yes
Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met percent proficient:			
English language arts			
Mathematics	•	•	•
Met attendance rates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met graduation rate	0	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program

Schools and districts receiving Title I funding that fail to meet AYP over two consecutive years in the same content area (English language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator enter into Program Improvement (PI). Each additional year that the district or school(s) do not meet AYP results in advancement to the next level of intervention. The percentage of schools identified for Program Improvement is calculated by taking the number of schools currently in PI within the district and dividing it by the total number of Title I schools within the district.

This table displays the 2015-16 Program Improvement status for the school and district. For detailed information about PI identification, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Federal Intervention Progra	ım	2015-16 School Year
	Highlands ES	Pittsburg USD
Program Improvement status	In PI	In PI
First year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 4	Year 3
Number of Title I schools currently in	Program Improvement	8
Percentage of Title I schools currently	y in Program Improvement	72.70%

- Not applicable. Due to the transition to the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments, the U.S. Department of Education approved the California Department of Education's waiver request to exclude the percent proficient results from AYP determinations. For more information on the AYP reports, please visit http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.
- Not applicable. This AYP indicator is only applicable for high schools.





California Standards Tests for All Students: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

The tables show the percentage of students in grades 5, 8 and 10 who scored at Proficient or Advanced levels (meeting or exceeding state standards) in science.

Students Scoring at Pro	ficient	or Adv	ancec	d Level	s		Th	ree-Yea	r Data
	Hiç	ghlands	ES	Pitt	sburg U	SD	(California	a
Subject	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	26%	33%	18%	44%	49%	42%	59%	60%	56%

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Levels	2014-15 School Year
Group	Science
All students in the district	42%
All students at the school	18%
Male	19%
Female	17%
Black or African-American	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*
Asian	*
Filipino	*
Hispanic or Latino	21%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*
White	*
Two or more races	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	16%
English learners	*
Students with disabilities	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*
Foster youth	*

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (grades 3-8 and 11)

The table below shows the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the state standards in English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

Percentage of Students M	2014-15 School Year		
Subject	Highlands ES	Pittsburg USD	California
English language arts/literacy	21%	29%	44%
Mathematics	17%	21%	33%

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/Standardized Testing and Reporting Results

Beginning in the 2013-14 school year, the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program was eliminated and replaced by a new set of assessments called the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP).

For the 2015 school year, the CAASSP included a mix of online and paper-pencil assessments. The online component contained the Smarter Balanced English language arts/literacy and mathematics tests. The paper-pencil science assessments of CAASPP included the California Standards Test (CST), California Modified Assessment (CMA) and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). There was also the optional Standards-based tests in Spanish for reading/language arts.

The CST is a multiple-choice test in science for varying grade levels. The CMA is a modified assessment for students with disabilities who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). The CAPA is an alternate assessment for students with significant cognitive disabilities who are unable to take the CST with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

For more information on the CAASPP assessments, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca.

CAASPP by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the information on student achievement at each performance level in English language arts and mathematics for the school by student groups for grades 3-5.

Levels of achievement include:

- Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Note: The number of students tested includes students who did not receive a score. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the performance level percentages. The performance level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Information is not available at this time.



CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 3

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Level					2014-15 S	chool Yea
English Language Arts: Grade 3	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	100	97	97.0%	47%	32%	11%	9%
Male		53	53.0%	53%	30%	9%	8%
Female		44	44.0%	41%	34%	14%	11%
Black or African-American		18	18.0%	61%	39%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		5	5.0%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		65	65.0%	51%	26%	14%	9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
White		2	2.0%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		4	4.0%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		85	85.0%	49%	28%	12%	11%
English learners		55	55.0%	51%	24%	15%	11%
Students with disabilities		4	4.0%	*	*	*	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		*	♦	♦	•	*	♦
Mathematics: Grade 3	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	100	97	97.0%	52%	25%	22%	2%
Male		53	53.0%	49%	25%	26%	0%
Female		44	44.0%	55%	25%	16%	5%
Black or African-American		18	18.0%	61%	33%	6%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
		4	1.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		1	1.0 /0				
		5	5.0%	*	*	*	*
Filipino				* 52%	* 23%	23%	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino		5	5.0%				
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		5 65	5.0%	52%	23%	23%	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		5 65 1	5.0% 65.0% 1.0%	52% •	23%	23%	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		5 65 1 2	5.0% 65.0% 1.0% 2.0%	52% *	23%	23%	2% *
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		5 65 1 2 4	5.0% 65.0% 1.0% 2.0% 4.0%	52%	23%	23%	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		5 65 1 2 4 85	5.0% 65.0% 1.0% 2.0% 4.0% 85.0%	52%	23%	23%	2%
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners Students with disabilities Students receiving Migrant Education services		5 65 1 2 4 85 55	5.0% 65.0% 1.0% 2.0% 4.0% 85.0%	52%	23%	23%	2%

Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[♦] Information is not available at this time.



Highlands Elementary School



CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 4

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Lev <u>el</u>					2014-15 S	chool Year
English Language Arts: Grade 4	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	86	83	96.5%	53%	24%	16%	6%
Male		43	50.0%	60%	14%	21%	5%
Female		40	46.5%	45%	35%	10%	8%
Black or African-American		22	25.6%	68%	18%	14%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		3	3.5%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		4	4.7%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		44	51.2%	48%	32%	11%	7%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
White		7	8.1%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		3	3.5%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		72	83.7%	54%	25%	17%	4%
English learners		24	27.9%	67%	25%	8%	0%
Students with disabilities		10	11.6%	*	*	*	٠
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		♦	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics: Grade 4	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	86	0.4					
		81	94.2%	43%	38%	15%	4%
Male		42	94.2%	43% 40%	38% 43%	15% 12%	4% 5%
Male Female							
		42	48.8%	40%	43%	12%	5%
Female		42	48.8% 45.3%	40% 46%	43% 33%	12% 18%	5%
Female Black or African-American		42 39 22	48.8% 45.3% 25.6%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32%	12% 18% 9%	5% 3% 5%
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native		42 39 22 0	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32%	12% 18% 9%	5% 3% 5%
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian		42 39 22 0	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5%	40% 46% 55% •	43% 33% 32% •	12% 18% 9% •	5% 3% 5% •
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino		42 39 22 0 3 4	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7%	40% 46% 55% *	43% 33% 32% •	12% 18% 9% •	5% 3% 5% •
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino		42 39 22 0 3 4 43	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32% 44%	12% 18% 9% • • •	5% 3% 5% * * 2%
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		42 39 22 0 3 4 43 0	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32% 44% 44%	12% 18% 9% * * 16% *	5% 3% 5% * 2% *
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		42 39 22 0 3 4 43 0 6	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0% 0.0% 7.0%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32% 44% 44%	12% 18% 9% * * 16% *	5% 3% 5% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		42 39 22 0 3 4 43 0 6	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0% 0.0% 7.0% 3.5%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32% 44% 44% 44%	12% 18% 9% * 16% *	5% 3% 5%
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		42 39 22 0 3 4 43 0 6 3 71	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0% 0.0% 7.0% 3.5% 82.6%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32%	12% 18% 9% * * 16% * 14%	5% 3% 5%
Female Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		42 39 22 0 3 4 43 0 6 3 71 23	48.8% 45.3% 25.6% 0.0% 3.5% 4.7% 50.0% 0.0% 7.0% 3.5% 82.6% 26.7%	40% 46% 55%	43% 33% 32%	12% 18% 9%	5% 3% 5%

Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard me

Level 4 = Standard exceeds

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[♦] Information is not available at this time.





CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 5

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Level					2014-15 S	chool Yea
English Language Arts: Grade 5	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	103	102	99.0%	54%	25%	18%	4%
Male		57	55.3%	63%	18%	16%	4%
Female		45	43.7%	42%	33%	20%	4%
Black or African-American		21	20.4%	76%	14%	10%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		2	1.9%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		7	6.8%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		55	53.4%	51%	25%	20%	4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		2	1.9%	*	*	*	*
White		7	6.8%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		8	7.8%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		84	81.6%	57%	24%	15%	4%
English learners		26	25.2%	58%	35%	8%	0%
Students with disabilities		15	14.6%	87%	7%	7%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		♦	•	♦	•	♦	♦
Mathematics: Grade 5	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	103	103	100.0%	60%	31%	7%	2%
Male		58	56.3%	53%	38%	9%	0%
Female		45	43.7%	69%	22%	4%	4%
Black or African-American		21	20.4%	71%	24%	5%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
					_	*	*
Asian		2	1.9%	*	*	•	
		2 7	1.9% 6.8%	*	*	*	*
Filipino							* 2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino		7	6.8%	*	*	*	
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		7 55	6.8% 53.4%	\$ 58%	* 35%	* 5%	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		7 55 2	6.8% 53.4% 1.9%	* 58% *	\$ 35% \$	* 5% *	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		7 55 2 8	6.8% 53.4% 1.9% 7.8%	\$ 58% \$ *	\$ 35% \$	* 5% *	2% *
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		7 55 2 8 8	6.8% 53.4% 1.9% 7.8%	\$ 58% \$ \$ \$	\$ 35% \$ \$ \$	\$ 5% \$ \$	2%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		7 55 2 8 8 8	6.8% 53.4% 1.9% 7.8% 7.8% 82.5%	\$ 58% \$ \$ 61%	\$ 35% \$ \$ 31%	\$ 5% \$ \$ \$ 6%	2%
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners Students with disabilities Students receiving Migrant Education services		7 55 2 8 8 8 85 26	6.8% 53.4% 1.9% 7.8% 7.8% 82.5% 25.2%	\$ 58% \$ \$ 61% 77%	\$ 35% \$ \$ 31% 23%	\$ 5% \$ \$ 6% 0%	2%

Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[♦] Information is not available at this time.



Highlands Elementary School



Textbooks and Instructional Materials

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks or instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Ins	5-16 School Year	
Subject	Textbook	Adopted
English language arts	Treasures, Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2010
Mathematics	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2008
Science	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2007
History/social science	Scott Foresman (K-5)	2006



Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject 2015	5-16 School Year
Highlands ES	Percentage Lacking
Reading/language arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History/social science	0%
Visual and performing arts	0%
Foreign language	0%
Health	0%

Currency of Textbook Data

This table displays the date when the textbook and instructional materials information was collected and verified.

ľ	Currency of Textbooks		
	2015-16 School Year		
	Data collection date 9/2015		

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

Quality of Textbooks	2015-16 School Year
Criteria	Yes/No
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list?	Yes
Are the textbooks consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education?	Yes
Do all students, including English learners, have access to their own textbook and instructional materials to use in class and to take home?	s Yes

Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-serve basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available at a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



School Facility Items Inspected

The tables show the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. The following is a list of items inspected.

- Systems: Gas systems and pipes, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and airconditioning)
- Interior: Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)
- Cleanliness: Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness (school grounds, buildings, rooms and common areas)
- Electrical: Electrical systems (interior and exterior)
- Restrooms/fountains: Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains (interior and exterior)
- Safety: Fire-safety equipment, emergency systems, hazardous materials (interior and exterior)
- Structural: Structural condition, roofs
- External: Windows/doors/gates/ fences, playgrounds/school grounds





This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor.

School Facility Good Repair Status 2015-10			16 School Year
Items Inspected	Repair Status	Items Inspected	Repair Status
Systems	Good	Restrooms/fountains	Good
Interior	Good	Safety	Good
Cleanliness	Good	Structural	Good
Electrical	Good	External	Good
Overall summary of facility condit	Good		
Date of the most recent school site inspection			8/10/2015
Date of the most recent completion of the inspection form			8/10/2015

Deficiencies and Repairs

The table lists the repairs required for all deficiencies found during the site inspection. Regardless of each item's repair status, all deficiencies are listed.

Deficiencies and Repairs		2015-16 School Year
Items Inspected	Deficiencies, Action Taken or Planned, and Date of Action	
Interior	Kindergarten wing missing tiles. Repaired November 30, 2015.	

School Facilities

Highlands Elementary School was built in the early 1960s and currently houses approximately 650 students, which include three special education preschool classes and one regular preschool class. The main structure of the school contains the administrative offices, cafeteria/multipurpose room, computer lab, library, 23 classrooms, offices for support staff, and an inner courtyard. We also have five portable classrooms, making a total of 31 classrooms.

In 2001-02, the school was modernized—updating our heating, ventilating, electrical, and data wiring (all classrooms, library, labs, and offices) systems, as well as the flooring, wall treatment, and roofing.

In the summer of 2013, the roof was replaced, and the cafeteria was given a face-lift with new wall siding, paint, blinds, and tables. There were also two new heating and air units installed; one above the office and one above the cafeteria.

During the 2014-15 school year, the exterior of the site was painted.

Our custodians work hard keeping our school clean and safe for our staff and students. We have one full-time custodian here during school hours and 1.5 custodians who keep our school clean after hours.

We have a schoolwide discipline plan that focuses on students being safe, responsible and respectful.

All visitors are expected to enter through the front of the school and check in at the school office, where they each receive a visitor's pass before entering the campus.

Our staff rotates duties before and after school and at recess, ensuring the safety of our students during times of ingress and egress.

Every site is inspected according to the guidelines generated by the Williams settlement decision. At this time, we have no safety-related discrepancies that have not been corrected. The site administrator and the custodial staff address school cleanliness daily. Restrooms are cleaned on a routine basis, and discrepancies are noted, work orders created and corrections made on a timely basis. School grounds and facilities are adequate for the student enrollments assigned to the site. Deferred maintenance items are scheduled and completed in a timely manner.



Teacher Qualifications

This table shows information about teacher credentials and teacher qualifications. Teachers without a full credential include teachers with district and university internships, pre-internships, emergency or other permits, and waivers. For more information on teacher credentials, visit www.ctc.ca.gov.

Teacher Credential Information		Three-Year Data		
	Pittsburg USD	Highlands ES		
Teachers	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
With full credential	485	27	30	24
Without full credential	15	0	1	0
Teaching outside subject area of competence (with full credential)	7	0	0	0

2 + 1 + 2 3 + 3 + 1 5 + 1 = 3 + 3 =



"We are proud and pleased to play a significant role in the lives of the children entrusted to our care."

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Please note total teacher misassignments includes the number of teacher misassignments of English learners.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions		Three-Year Data	
	Highlands ES		
Teachers	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teacher misassignments of English learners	0	0	0
Total teacher misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant teacher positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) extended the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) to require that core academic subjects be taught by "highly qualified" teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated competence for each core academic subject area he or she teaches. The table displays data regarding highly qualified teachers from the 2014-15 school year.

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced priced meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced priced meals program. For more information on teacher qualifications related to NCLB, visit www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg.

No Child Left Behind Compli	2014-15 School Year		
	Percentage of Classes in Core Academic Subjects		
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Highlands ES	100.00%	0.00%	
All schools in district	86.66%	13.34%	
High-poverty schools in district	86.66%	13.34%	
Low-poverty schools in district	*	÷	

Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Academic Counselors and		
School Support Staff Do	aici	
Academic Counselors		
FTE of academic counselors	0.0	
Average number of students per academic counselor	÷	
Support Staff	FTE	
Social/behavioral or career development counselors	2.0	
Library media teacher (librarian)	1.0	
Library media services staff (paraprofessional)	0.0	
Psychologist	0.5	
Social worker	0.0	
Nurse	0.0	
Speech/language/hearing specialist	0.5	
Resource specialist (nonteaching)	0.0	



The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data		
2013-14 Fiscal Year		
Total expenditures \$4,645		
Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources	\$267	
Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources	\$4,378	
Annual average teacher salary	\$62,056	



Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2013-14 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

District Salary Data		2013-14 Fiscal Year
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
Beginning teacher salary	\$41,429	\$43,062
Midrange teacher salary	\$61,869	\$67,927
Highest teacher salary	\$76,884	\$87,811
Average elementary school principal salary	\$101,398	\$110,136
Average middle school principal salary	\$109,895	\$115,946
Average high school principal salary	\$121,484	\$124,865
Superintendent salary	\$189,855	\$211,869
Teacher salaries: percentage of budget	37%	39%
Administrative salaries: percentage of budget	6%	5%

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison		2013-14 Fiscal Year
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary
Highlands ES	\$4,378	\$62,056
Pittsburg USD	\$6,035	\$61,038
California	\$5,348	\$59,180
School and district: percentage difference	-27.5%	+1.7%
School and California: percentage difference	-18.1%	+4.9%

School Accountability Report Card

PUBLISHED BY:



Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education (CDE), school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at http://data1. cde.ca.gov/dataquest/. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1 of each year.



Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) Requirements Aligned in Your SARC

The tables below outline the state priority areas that are included in the School Accountability Report Card.

Conditions of Learning

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements

Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC

Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching. Education Code (EC) § 52060 (d)(1)

Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials. EC § 52060 (d)(1)

School facilities are maintained in good repair. EC § 52060 (d)(1)

Pupil Outcomes

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4) and Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements

Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC

Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievements

Statewide assessments (e.g., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress). EC § 52060 (d)(4)(A)

The Academic Performance Index. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(B)

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(C)

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

Pupil outcomes in subject areas such as English, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, career technical education, and other studies prescribed by the governing board.¹ EC § 52060 (d)(8)

Engagement

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5) and School Climate State Priority (Priority 6).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements

Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC

Engagement

State Priority: Parent Involvement

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site. EC § 52060 (d)(3)

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

High school dropout rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(D)

High school graduation rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(E)

State Priority: School Climate

Pupil suspension rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(A)

Pupil expulsion rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(B)

Other local measures including surveys of students, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.² EC § 52060 (d)(6)(C)

Note: State Priority 2 and 7 are not required in the SARC, as well as certain portions of Priority 4 and 5. For detailed information about LCAP and frequently asked questions, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/lcfffaq.asp.

English, mathematics and physical education are the only subject areas included in Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8) that are reflected in the SARC.

² The school safety plan is the only other local measure of School Climate (Priority 6) that is reflected in the SARC.