. SARC Marina Vista Elementary School 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published in 2015-16 INA VIS OUR MESSAGE OUR **TEACHERS** Kirsten Wollenweber-Portis Principal kwollenweber@pittsburg.k12.ca.us OUR 50 East Eighth Street Pittsburg, CA 94565 **SCHOOL** Grades: Pre-K-5 Phone: (925) 473-2490 www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/marinavista CDS Code: 07-61788-0116863 Para español, visita: www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us

Pittsburg Unified School District • 2000 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 • www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us Janet Schulze, Superintendent • jschulze@pittsburg.k12.ca.us • Phone: (925) 473-2300

Pittsburg USD



.....

School Mission Statement

At Marina Vista Elementary School, we are committed to providing our diverse students with the skills, support, and experiences necessary for them to believe, achieve, and succeed.

.....

Principal's Message

SARC

The doors to Marina Vista opened on September 2, 2008. This beautiful, state-of-the-art facility includes a full gymnasium/multiuse cafeteria and artificial-turf field. There are two classroom computer labs and six mobile labs that teachers can utilize on a daily basis, providing our students many opportunities to become 21st-century learners. Our highly qualified teachers are dedicated to providing standards-based lessons using effective strategies that will enable our students to become career and college ready.

In the spring of 2015, third- through fifth-grade students took the California Assessment of Performance and Progress (CAASPP) for the first time using the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) system. Our baseline data is as follows.

- Third grade: English language arts (ELA) 34%; math 36%
- Fourth grade: ELA 41%; math 35%
- Fifth grade: ELA 26%; math 14%

Our goal for the 2015-16 school year is to continue to improve our student achievement in both English language arts and math with the Common Core State Standards. We will increase our proficiency rates in both ELA and math by at least 5%.

In order to meet our goal, we will focus on:

- Creating inquiry-based learning unit plans to teach comprehension and writing skills using a variety of challenging texts
- Building early literacy skills to increase fluency in grades K-2 to prepare students for rigorous reading
- Implementing MARS Performance Tasks in math that require students to apply their knowledge of the mathematical practices to solve a real life problem
- Allowing student opportunities to incorporate technology and oral presentations to build students' abilities in listening and speaking standards

We have established two leadership teams to focus on the development of our academic goals as well as our culture and climate goals at Marina Vista. Our Culture Club meets monthly to create various ways to honor and award students through positive behavior incentives, monthly student recognition assemblies, student council, spirit days and family nights.

These goals are an ongoing focus for Marina Vista to continue to foster a community of lifelong learners that educates and challenges all students.

School Vision Statement

Our school embraces the diversity and culture of the Pittsburg community. Our teachers and staff support, encourage and challenge each other as well as our students to achieve academic and personal success. Our school is a place where administration, support staff, teachers, students, and families treat each other safely, respectfully, and responsibly. Our school is technologically current, environmentally conscious, and provides modern facilities for community use.

School Safety

The school safety plan is reviewed and updated annually and addresses response to earthquakes, fire, intruders, chemical spills, and other natural disasters. Fire drills are conducted each month, and drills for earthquakes, intruders, and shelter in place are conducted annually. The plan also includes two action components. CPR and AED training was provided for staff in fall 2009.

All visitors must sign-in and receive a visitor's pass upon entering the school. Staff members have photoidentification badges. Parents can access the safety plan in the school office.

The school safety plan was most recently reviewed, updated, and discussed with SSC and staff in October 2015.

School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.



Pittsburg Unified Mission Statement

.....

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

Governing Board

Mr. Duane Smith, President Mr. De'Shawn Woolridge, Vice president Dr. Laura Canciamilla, Trustee Mr. George Miller, Trustee Mr. Joseph Arenivar, Trustee



Board Goals

1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports

2. Powerful Instruction

• Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit "highly qualified" and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

4. Quality Learning Environment

- High-quality facilities
- Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- State-of-the-art technology

5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization

- Fiscal stability and responsible longrange planning
- Comprehensive accountability
 system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service


6. Meaningful Collaboration,

- Partnership and Parental Engagement
- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/ guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students' academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff communication

Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size.

.



Number of Clas	srooms	by Size	Ð				Т	hree-Yea	ar Data
		2012-13			2013-14			2014-15	
Grade		Number of Students							
Grade	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
к		4			3	1	1	6	
1		5			4		1	4	
2		4		2	5			4	
3	1	4			4			5	
4			3			3		1	2
5			3			3			3

Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), Local Education Agency Plan (LEAP) addendum and the sites' Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) are the genesis of selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on student-achievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is focusing professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.

Every teacher participates in three full-release professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers also participate in a structured Collaboration Cycle where they meet with their colleagues to create common lessons that they teach in their classrooms while their colleagues and instructional coaches provide them feedback.

Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available in implementing core curricula, intervention programs, English Language Development, structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics, as a few examples. Teachers fulfill the No Child Left Behind Act requirements for being highly qualified with district support. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.


Professional Development	Professional Development Days		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Marina Vista ES	3 days	3 days	3 days

Enrollment by Student Group

SARC

The total enrollment at the school was 682 students for the 2014-15 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.

.



Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates for the most recent three-year period. Note: Students are only counted one time, regardless of the number of suspensions.

Suspensions and Expulsions						Three-Ye	ar Data		
	Marina Vista ES Pittsburg USD			SD		California			
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Suspension rates	4.6%	6.2%	7.5%	10.4%	10.8%	7.8%	5.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Expulsion rates	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

.....

Parental Involvement


The School Site Council (SSC) and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meet monthly to review progress and monitor the Single Plan for Student Achievement. All meetings are open to the public. The school holds monthly family-night activities, which include both educational and social events. This year, we will be host Common Core Parent Informational nights to inform our parents of what we are teaching and how we are teaching it so they can assist their students with their homework at home. Parent support is evident at Back-to-School Night, the Halloween parade, Jump Rope for Heart, Open House, Discovery Days and Field Day. Classroom volunteers chaperone field trips and assist with daily school activities. We welcome all our parents to become volunteers. The Marina Vista Ospreys Booster Club meets monthly and provides many opportunities for parent participation. The parent club has worked in partnership with the staff, teachers and parents to continually build a sound community between our school and families.

Pittsburg Unified School District hired a parent family liaison in connection with the Board's Goal #3 to increase parent engagement and involvement.

For more information on how to become involved, please contact either Monica Leite, School Site Council Chairperson at monica.renee.leite@gmail.com or Amitai Padilla, parent volunteer liaison at (925) 473-2490.

Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2014-15 school year.





Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional Development including ELA and math trainings, crosscultural language and academicdevelopment examination, ADEPT, Systematic ELD, Lesson Study, data protocol, etc.
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- Summer programs
- Credit recovery programs



California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram® is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. The PFT measures six key fitness areas:

- 1. Aerobic Capacity
- 2. Body Composition
- 3. Flexibility
- 4. Abdominal Strength and Endurance
- 5. Upper Body Strength and Endurance
- 6. Trunk Extensor Strength and Flexibility

Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students meeting the fitness standards of being in the "healthy fitness zone" for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

 Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

 2014-15 School Year

 Grade 5

 Four of six standards
 33.7%

15.3%

14.3%

Five of six standards

Six of six standards

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires all schools and districts meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) requirements. California public schools and districts are required to meet or exceed criteria in the following four target areas:

- · Participation rate on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Percentage of students scoring proficient on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Attendance rates for schools with enrollment in Transitional Kindergarten through grade eight (TK-8)
- Graduation rate for high schools

The table displays whether or not the school, district, and state met each of the AYP criteria and made overall AYP for 2014-15. For more information, visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay.

Adequate Yearly Pro	2014-15 School Year						
	Marina Vista ES	Pittsburg USD	California				
Met overall AYP	Yes	No	Yes				
Met participation rate:							
English language arts	Yes	No	Yes				
Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met percent proficient:							
English language arts		•					
Mathematics		■					
Met attendance rates	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met graduation rate	ο	Yes	Yes				

Federal Intervention Program

Schools and districts receiving Title I funding that fail to meet AYP over two consecutive years in the same content area (English language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator enter into Program Improvement (PI). Each additional year that the district or school(s) do not meet AYP results in advancement to the next level of intervention. The percentage of schools identified for Program Improvement is calculated by taking the number of schools currently in PI within the district and dividing it by the total number of Title I schools within the district.

This table displays the 2015-16 Program Improvement status for the school and district. For detailed information about PI identification, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Federal Intervention Progra	nm	2015-16 School Year
	Marina Vista ES	Pittsburg USD
Program Improvement status	In Pl	In PI
First year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Title I schools currently in	Program Improvement	8
Percentage of Title I schools current	y in Program Improvement	72.70%

Not applicable. Due to the transition to the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments, the U.S. Department of Education approved the California Department of Education's waiver request to exclude the percent proficient results from AYP determinations. For more information on the AYP reports, please visit http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

• Not applicable. This AYP indicator is only applicable for high schools.

California Standards Tests for All Students: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

SARC

.

The tables show the percentage of students in grades 5, 8 and 10 who scored at Proficient or Advanced levels (meeting or exceeding state standards) in science.

Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Levels							Tł	nree-Yea	r Data
	Mar	ina Vista	I ES	Pitt	tsburg U	SD	(California	a
Subject	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	26%	45%	26%	44%	49%	42%	59%	60%	56%

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Levels	2014-15 School Year
Group	Science
All students in the district	42%
All students at the school	26%
Male	29%
Female	22%
Black or African-American	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*
Asian	*
Filipino	*
Hispanic or Latino	28%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*
White	*
Two or more races	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	26%
English learners	11%
Students with disabilities	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*
Foster youth	<u></u>

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (grades 3-8 and 11)

The table below shows the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the state standards in English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards 2014-15 School Y						
Subject	oject Marina Vista ES Pittsburg USD					
English language arts/literacy	33%	29%	44%			
Mathematics	29%	21%	33%			

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

♦ Information is not available at this time.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/Standardized Testing and Reporting Results

Beginning in the 2013-14 school year, the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program was eliminated and replaced by a new set of assessments called the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP).

For the 2015 school year, the CAASSP included a mix of online and paper-pencil assessments. The online component contained the Smarter Balanced English language arts/literacy and mathematics tests. The paper-pencil science assessments of CAASPP included the California Standards Test (CST), California Modified Assessment (CMA) and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). There was also the optional Standards-based tests in Spanish for reading/language arts.

The CST is a multiple-choice test in science for varying grade levels. The CMA is a modified assessment for students with disabilities who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). The CAPA is an alternate assessment for students with significant cognitive disabilities who are unable to take the CST with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

For more information on the CAASPP assessments, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca.

CAASPP by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the information on student achievement at each performance level in English language arts and mathematics for the school by student groups for grades 3-5.

Levels of achievement include:

- Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Note: The number of students tested includes students who did not receive a score. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the performance level percentages. The performance level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

.

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 3

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Level					2014-15 S	chool Yea
English Language Arts: Grade 3	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	123	123	100.0%	42%	24%	19%	15%
Male		60	48.8%	48%	25%	17%	10%
Female		63	51.2%	37%	24%	21%	19%
Black or African-American		32	26.0%	34%	34%	16%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	0.8%	*	*	*	*
Asian		5	4.1%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		4	3.3%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		72	58.5%	42%	22%	24%	13%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
White		6	4.9%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		3	2.4%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		106	86.2%	45%	22%	19%	14%
English learners		54	43.9%	46%	22%	22%	9%
Students with disabilities		12	9.8%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		*	*	۲	*	۲	۲
Mathematics: Grade 3	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	123	122	99.2%	35%	30%	24%	11%
Male		59	48.0%	42%	20%	27%	10%
Female		63	51.2%	29%	38%	21%	13%
Black or African-American		31	25.2%	32%	32%	19%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	0.8%	*	*	*	*
				*	*	*	*
Asian		5	4.1%	*			
Asian Filipino		5	4.1%	*	*	*	*
					∻ 32%	* 24%	* 10%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino		4	3.3%	*			
Filipino Hispanic or Latino		4 72	3.3% 58.5%	☆ 35%	32%	24%	10%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		4 72 0	3.3% 58.5% 0.0%	35%	32%	24%	10% *
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		4 72 0 6	3.3% 58.5% 0.0% 4.9%	 ★ 35% ★ ★ 	32% *	24% *	10% * *
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		4 72 0 6 3	3.3% 58.5% 0.0% 4.9% 2.4%	* 35% * *	32% * * * * *	24%	10%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		4 72 0 6 3 105	3.3% 58.5% 0.0% 4.9% 2.4% 85.4%	* 35% * * *	32% * * 30%	24% * * 21%	10%
Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		4 72 0 6 3 105 54	3.3% 58.5% 0.0% 4.9% 2.4% 85.4% 43.9%	 35% 35% 35% 39% 41% 	32% * * 30% 30%	24% * * 21% 22%	10%

Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 3 = Standard met Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

 $\boldsymbol{\diamondsuit}$ Information is not available at this time.

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

SARC

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 4

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Level					2014-15 S	chool Year
English Language Arts: Grade 4	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	103	100	97.1%	37%	22%	23%	18%
Male		49	47.6%	43%	22%	22%	12%
Female		51	49.5%	31%	22%	24%	24%
Black or African-American		22	21.4%	41%	32%	18%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		3	2.9%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		4	3.9%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		64	62.1%	41%	19%	23%	17%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
White		4	3.9%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		2	1.9%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		86	83.5%	40%	22%	22%	16%
English learners		39	37.9%	49%	23%	18%	10%
Students with disabilities		7	6.8%	*	*	*	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		\$	<u> ا</u>	۲	<u>ی</u>	۲	<u>چ</u>
Mathematics: Grade 4	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	chievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	103	101	98.1%	25%	36%	25%	14%
Male		49	47.6%	33%	27%	24%	16%
Female		52	50.5%	17%	44%	25%	12%
Black or African-American							
		23	22.3%	17%	57%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		23 0	22.3% 0.0%	17% ل	57%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native Asian							
		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		0 3	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian Filipino		0 3 4	0.0% 2.9% 3.9%	* * *	* * *	* * *	* *
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino		0 3 4 64	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1%	* * * 30%	* * 33%	* * 22%	* * * 16%
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		0 3 4 64 1	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1% 1.0%	* * 30% *	* * 33% *	* * 22% *	* * * 16%
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		0 3 4 64 1 4	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1% 1.0% 3.9%	* * 30% *	* * 33% *	* * 22% * *	* * 16% *
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		0 3 4 64 1 4 2	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1% 1.0% 3.9% 1.9%	* * 30% * *	* * 33% * *	* * 22% * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * 16% * *
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		0 3 4 64 1 4 2 87	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1% 1.0% 3.9% 1.9% 84.5%	* * 30% * * 29%	* * 33% * * *	* * 22% * * 25%	* * 16% * * 10%
Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		0 3 4 64 1 4 2 87 39	0.0% 2.9% 3.9% 62.1% 1.0% 3.9% 1.9% 84.5% 37.9%	* * 30% * * 29% 38%	* * 33% * * * 34% 33%	* * 22% * 22% * 22% 22% 22% 21%	* * 16% * * * 10%

Level 1 = Standard not met Level 2 = Standard nearly met Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

♦ Information is not available at this time.

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 5

Students Achieving at Each Perform	nance Level					2014-15 S	chool Year
English Language Arts: Grade 5	Total	Number	Percentage		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested of Total Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	101	100	99.0%	56%	18%	19%	7%
Male		55	54.5%	64%	20%	9%	7%
Female		45	44.6%	47%	16%	31%	7%
Black or African-American		17	16.8%	53%	24%	12%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
Asian		3	3.0%	*	*	*	*
Filipino		3	3.0%	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino		69	68.3%	54%	20%	20%	6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
White		2	2.0%	*	*	*	*
Two or more races		1	1.0%	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		89	88.1%	54%	19%	20%	7%
English learners		38	37.6%	74%	13%	13%	0%
Students with disabilities		6	5.9%	*	*	*	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	*	*	*	*
Foster youth		۲	*	۲	\$	۲	۲
Mathematics: Grade 5	Total	Number	Percentage Tested of Total		Percent Ac	hievement	
Group	Enrollment	Tested	Enrollment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	101	101	100.0%	65%	23%	10%	1%
Male		56	55.4%	61%	23%	13%	2%
Female		45	44.6%	71%	22%	7%	0%
Female Black or African-American				71% 53%	22% 41%	7% 6%	0% 0%
		45	44.6%				
Black or African-American		45 17	44.6% 16.8%	53%	41%	6%	0%
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native		45 17 1	44.6% 16.8% 1.0%	53%	41%	6% *	0%
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian		45 17 1 3	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0%	53% *	41% *	6% *	0% *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino		45 17 1 3 3	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 3.0%	53% * *	41%	6% * *	0% * * *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino		45 17 1 3 3 70	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 3.0% 69.3%	53%	41% * * 21%	6% * * 10%	0% * * * 1%
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		45 17 1 3 3 70 1	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 3.0% 69.3% 1.0%	53%	41% * * 21% *	6% * * 10% *	0% * * 1% *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races		45 17 1 3 3 70 1 2	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 69.3% 1.0% 2.0%	53% * * 66% * *	41% * * 21% *	6% * * 10% *	0% * * 1% *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White		45 17 1 3 3 70 1 2 1	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 69.3% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0%	53% * * 66% * * *	41% * 21% * *	6% * * 10% * *	0% * * 1% * *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged		45 17 1 3 3 70 1 2 1 2 1 89	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 69.3% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 88.1%	53%	41% * 21% * 22%	6% * * 10% * * 10%	0% * * 1% * * * *
Black or African-American American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Filipino Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races Socioeconomically disadvantaged English learners		45 17 1 3 3 70 1 2 1 2 1 89 38	44.6% 16.8% 1.0% 3.0% 3.0% 1.0% 2.0% 1.0% 88.1% 37.6%	53% * * 666% * * 666% 92%	41% * 21% * 22% 8%	6% * * 10% * 10% 0%	0% * * 1% * * 1% 0%

Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 3 = Standard met Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

 $\boldsymbol{\diamondsuit}$ Information is not available at this time.

Level 2 = Standard nearly met Le

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

SARC

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks or instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Ins	2015-16 School Year		
Subject	Textbook	Adopted	
English language arts	Treasures, Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2010	
Mathematics	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2008	
Science	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill (K-5)	2007	
History/social science	Scott Foresman (K-5)	2006	

Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject	2015-16 School Year
Marina Vista ES	Percentage Lacking
Reading/language arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History/social science	0%
Visual and performing arts	÷
Foreign language	\$
Health	0%

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

.....

Quality of Textbooks	2015-16 School Year	
Criteria	Yes/No	
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list?	Yes	
Are the textbooks consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education?	Yes	
Do all students, including English learners, have access to their own textboo and instructional materials to use in class and to take home?	ks _{Yes}	



Currency of Textbook Data

.....

This table displays the date when the textbook and instructional materials information was collected and verified.

Currency of Textbooks			
2015-16 School Year			
Data collection date 9/2015			







School Facilities

Marina Vista opened on September 2, 2008. It is a two-story structure with 26 classrooms, two computer labs, a science lab, library, full gymnasium/multiuse cafeteria, artificial-turf field with full lighting and two playground areas. The entire outdoor play area is enclosed by wrought-iron fencing. The only entrance to the school for the public is at the front of the school by the main office. Each classroom has Internet access, three computers, ceilingmounted LCD projectors with document cameras and DVD/VCR capability. All classrooms and offices have telephones with voicemail capabilities. There are 10 office spaces to house support staff. Staff parking is located in an underground parking garage with gated code access. Exterior doors are locked and unlocked using a card-swipe system and computerized timed lock/unlock features. Video cameras are located throughout the school, allowing the administration to monitor the entire facility from a central location.

The site administrator, custodial staff (two full time, one part time), and district custodial supervisor address school cleanliness daily. Restrooms are cleaned at least twice each day. Classrooms and common areas are cleaned daily.

Marina Vista has a portable classroom on our campus to house our preschool program. The portable is very spacious and has a self-contained bathroom. This portable will be equipped with technological equipment including computers and an LCD projector and screen.

Over the 2015 summer break, solar panels were installed on the field to coincide with the district's energy plan. The solar panels help the site to reduce energy and also provide shade on hot days.





"Our highly qualified teachers are dedicated to providing standards-based lessons using effective strategies that will enable our students to become career and college ready."



School Facility Items Inspected

The tables show the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. The following is a list of items inspected.

- Systems: Gas systems and pipes, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and air-conditioning)
- Interior: Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)
- Cleanliness: Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness (school grounds, buildings, rooms and common areas)
- Electrical: Electrical systems (interior and exterior)

- Restrooms/fountains: Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains (interior and exterior)
- Safety: Fire-safety equipment, emergency systems, hazardous materials (interior and exterior)
- Structural: Structural condition, roofs
- External: Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school grounds

School Facility Good Repair Status

This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor.

School Facility Good Repair Status 2015-16			l6 School Year
Items Inspected	Repair Status	Items Inspected	Repair Status
Systems	Good	Restrooms/fountains	Good
Interior	Good	Safety	Good
Cleanliness	Good	Structural	Good
Electrical	Good	External	Good
Overall summary of facility conditions			
Date of the most recent school site inspection			8/10/2015
Date of the most recent completion of the inspection form			8/10/2015

Deficiencies and Repairs

The table lists the repairs required for all deficiencies found during the site inspection. Regardless of each item's repair status, all deficiencies are listed.

Deficiencies and Repo	airs	2015-16 School Year
Items Inspected	Deficiencies, Action Taken or Planne	ed, and Date of Action
Structural	Kindergarten playground, rust stains.	Repaired September 2015.

Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-serve basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available at a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Teacher Qualifications

SARC

This table shows information about teacher credentials and teacher qualifications. Teachers without a full credential include teachers with district and university internships, pre-internships, emergency or other permits, and waivers. For more information on teacher credentials, visit www.ctc.ca.gov.

Teacher Credential Informati	on		Three-	Year Data
Pittsburg USD		M	arina Vista I	ES
Teachers	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
With full credential	485	25	32	30
Without full credential	15	1	1	1
Teaching outside subject area of competence (with full credential)	7	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Please note total teacher misassignments includes the number of teacher misassignments of English learners.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions		Three-Year Data	
	Marina Vista ES		
Teachers	13-14 14-15 15-16		15-16
Teacher misassignments of English learners	0	0	0
Total teacher misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant teacher positions	0	1	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) extended the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) to require that core academic subjects be taught by "highly qualified" teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated competence for each core academic subject area he or she teaches. The table displays data regarding highly qualified teachers from the 2014-15 school year.

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced priced meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced priced meals program. For more information on teacher qualifications related to NCLB, visit www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq.

No Child Left Behind Complie	ant Teachers	2014-15 School Year	
	Percentage of Classes in Core Academic Subjects		
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	
Marina Vista ES	100.00%	0.00%	
All schools in district	86.66%	13.34%	
High-poverty schools in district	86.66%	13.34%	
Low-poverty schools in district	*	*	



Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data		
2014-15 School Year		
Academic Counselors		
FTE of academic counselors	0.00	
Average number of students per academic counselor	¢	
Support Staff	FTE	
Social/behavioral or career development counselors	2.00	
Library media teacher (librarian)	0.00	
Library media services staff (paraprofessional)	1.00	
Psychologist	0.66	
Social worker	0.00	
Nurse	0.00	
Speech/language/hearing specialist	1.00	
Resource specialist (nonteaching)	0.00	
Other	FTE	
Instructional assistants	5.00	
Noon duty supervisors	6.00	

••••••

.....

School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data		
2013-14 Fiscal Yea	r	
Total expenditures per pupil	\$4,184	
Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources	\$260	
Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources	\$3,924	
Annual average teacher salary	\$59,811	

•••••••



Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2013-14 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

District Salary Data 2013-14 Fisca		
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
Beginning teacher salary	\$41,429	\$43,062
Midrange teacher salary	\$61,869	\$67,927
Highest teacher salary	\$76,884	\$87,811
Average elementary school principal salary	\$101,398	\$110,136
Average middle school principal salary	\$109,895	\$115,946
Average high school principal salary	\$121,484	\$124,865
Superintendent salary	\$189,855	\$211,869
Teacher salaries: percentage of budget	37%	39%
Administrative salaries: percentage of budget	6%	5%

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison		2013-14 Fiscal Year
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary
Marina Vista ES	\$3,924	\$59,811
Pittsburg USD	\$6,035	\$61,038
California	\$5,348	\$59,180
School and district: percentage difference	-35.0%	-2.0%
School and California: percentage difference	-26.6%	+1.1%

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education (CDE), school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at http://data1. cde.ca.gov/dataquest/. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1 of each year. All data accurate as of December 2015.

School Accountability Report Card

www.sia-us.com | 800.487.9234

Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) Requirements Aligned in Your SARC

The tables below outline the state priority areas that are included in the School Accountability Report Card.

Conditions of Learning

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC
Conditions of Learning	
State Priority: Basic	
Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching. Education Code (EC) § 52060 (d)(1)	
Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials. EC § 52060 (d)(1)	
School facilities are maintained in good repair. EC § 52060 (d)(1)	

Pupil Outcomes

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4) and Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC	
Pupil Outcomes		
State Priority: Pupil Achievements		
Statewide assessments (e.g., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress). EC § 52060 (d)(4)(A)		
The Academic Performance Index. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(B)		
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(C)		
State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes		
Pupil outcomes in subject areas such as English, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, career technical education, and other studies prescribed by the governing board. ¹ EC § 52060 (d)(8)		
Engagement		
The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Parental Involver 5) and School Climate State Priority (Priority 6).	nent State Priority (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority	
Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC	

Engagement

State Priority: Parent Involvement

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site. EC § 52060 (d)(3)

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

High school dropout rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(D)

High school graduation rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(E)

State Priority: School Climate

Pupil suspension rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(A)

Pupil expulsion rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(B)

Other local measures including surveys of students, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.² EC § 52060 (d)(6)(C)

Note: State Priority 2 and 7 are not required in the SARC, as well as certain portions of Priority 4 and 5. For detailed information about LCAP and frequently asked questions, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/lcfffaq.asp.

1 English, mathematics and physical education are the only subject areas included in Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8) that are reflected in the SARC.

² The school safety plan is the only other local measure of School Climate (Priority 6) that is reflected in the SARC.