Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High School

Grades 6-8 CDS Code 07-61788-0125435

Angela Stevens-Stevenson, Principal astevenson@pittsburgusd.net

2012 Carion Court Pittsburg, CA 94565 (925) 473-2500

www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/Domain/18







Pittsburg Unified School District

2000 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 • www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us Janet Schulze, Superintendent • jschulze@pittsburgusd.net • (925) 473-2300



2020-21 School Accountability Report Card • Published by School Innovations & Achievement • www.sia-us.com



Principal's Message

I am the proud principal of Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High School. Our school is the third junior high school in the city of Pittsburg. We have a school population this year of about 700 scholars.

Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High is the very first junior high school in this neighborhood and the first school in over 50 years. We have been welcomed by businesses and the school community. It is the only school in the district named for a famous and historical figure whose statue stands proudly in our quad. Our goal is to educate our scholars to their highest potential both academically and socially. We pledge to move our students academically toward proficiency in core subjects. We are establishing a culture of success and showing our value of diversity by presenting monthly assemblies, such as Latinos Unidos Folklorico during Latino Heritage Month and bringing Aztec dancers during Native American Heritage Month. Our scholars are taught the importance of leaving their own legacies as Dr. King did. We have implemented the Six Pillars of Character to help us model the behaviors we want to see in our school. We are using Restorative Justice as part of our school practice and culture.

This year we are continuing to use strategies from our book study, Culturally Responsive Teaching and the Brain. Our goal is to teach with an expectation and belief that all scholars can learn and that their personal environments are explanations but not excuses. With restorative justice and cultural sensitivity, we are striving to lower the number of referrals for all students by 10% in each half of the school year and to raise proficiency levels by 5% for each identified group. Our Tiger Team endeavors to aid our scholars in becoming more than they ever thought they could be.

School Mission Statement

The Tiger Team of Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High School endeavors to prepare all scholars to be college and career ready so that they are able to function as productive citizens within the community. We will use data to guide their instruction and make nonacademic commitments to model social responsibilities and expectations.

Parental Involvement


A collaborative effort from parents, teachers, administrators and the community at large is required to ensure the educational success of every child. The district is a member of the National Network of Partnership Schools, which provides a foundation and process for engaging parental and community involvement in schools.

The parent coordinator at Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High works collaboratively with parents as she solicits their assistance with both ongoing activities, including the School Site Council (SSC); English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC); Parent Booster Club, Lunch on the Lawn and one-time opportunities such as Back-to-School Night, Family Science Night, Family Literacy Night, Family Math Night, AVID Family Nights, Million Father March, a Bite of Reality, our winter and spring band and theatrical performances, and our ethnic heritage programs. Parents are encouraged to visit the school, volunteer in classrooms, chaperone field trips, and attend special programs designed to increase the level of parental and community engagement with our school, such as parenting and health classes.

For more information on how to become involved at the school, contact our parent liaison Ana Perez at (925) 473-2500, extension 3519.

Enrollment by Student Group


The total enrollment at the school was 690 students for the 2020-21 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.





School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.



District Mission Statement

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District (PUSD) to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community, and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

Governing Board Mr. Duane Smith, president Mr. De'Shawn Woolridge, vice president

Mr. Joseph Arenivar, trustee Mr. Joseph Arenivar, trustee Mr. George Miller, trustee


Enrollment by Student Group

Demographics

2020-21 School Year						
Female	45.90%					
Male	54.10%					
Non-Binary	0.00%					
English learners	28.30%					
Foster youth	0.60%					
Homeless	0.60%					
Migrant	0.00%					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.80%					
Students with Disabilities	11.70%					

Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2020-21 school year.





School Safety

Staff members and scholars are prepared to respond to various emergencies through monthly drills and class discussions. The school safety plan addresses information regarding the physical, social and cultural aspects of the school environment.


Meetings with staff and CRA's support our plan to make safety our priority. Office staff has assigned jobs in times of drills and/or emergencies.

In addition, the district has developed a Crisis Intervention Plan to be activated in the event of an emergency. Support staff is available to provide targeted support in the event of a crisis. A copy of the plan is located in the main office, and emergency procedures are included in the district handbook and the school parent handbook. We have all been trained through active shooter drills and lockdown procedures.

The school safety plan was last reviewed, updated and discussed with the school faculty in August 2021.

Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size, and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size. The number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.



Number of Classro	rooms by Size				Three-Year Data				
		2018-19			2019-20			2020-21	
Grade				Numb	per of Stu	Idents			
Grade	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
6	8	25	9		8		11	9	
Subject				Numb	per of Stu	Idents			
Subject	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+
English language arts	15	16			8		5	5	
Mathematics	8	15	2		8		4	6	
Science	1	1			7		4	4	
History/social science	3	16			7		4	4	



Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through June, each full school year respectively.

Suspensions and Expulsions					Two	-Year Data
	MLK,	Jr. JHS	Pittsburg USD		California	
	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21	2018-19	2020-21
Suspension rates	15.0%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.2%
Expulsion rates	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Note: Data collected during the 2020–21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Suspensions and Exp	ulsions		2019-20 School Year
	MLK, Jr. JHS	Pittsburg USD	California
	2019-20	2019-20	2019-20
Suspension rates	7.6%	5.7%	2.5%
Expulsion rates	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%

Note: The 2019–20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019–20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019–20 school year compared to other school years.

Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Suspensions and Expulsions by Studen	t Group	2020-21 School Year
Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.0%	0.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%
Male	0.0%	0.0%
Non-Binary	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%
White	0.0%	0.0%
English Learners	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%
Homeless	0.0%	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.0%	0.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	0.0%	0.0%

Board Goals

1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports, including Ethnic Studies
- Our belief is every student is entitled to a high school diploma and our goals and commitment is to achieve a 100% graduation rate

2. Powerful Instruction

 Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit "highly qualified" and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

4. Quality Learning Environment

- High-quality facilities
- Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- State-of-the-art technology

5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization

- Fiscal stability and responsible longrange planning
- Comprehensive accountability system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service

6. Meaningful Collaboration,

- Partnership and Parental Engagement
- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students' academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff
 communication



California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. The PFT measures six key fitness areas:

1. Aerobic Capacity

3. Flexibility

- 4. Abdominal Strength and Endurance
- 2. Body Composition
- Upper Body Strength and Endurance
 Trunk Extensor Strength and Flexibility

Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students meeting the fitness standards of being in the "healthy fitness zone" for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

California Physical Fitness Test	2020-21 School Year
Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards	MLK, Jr. JHS
	Grade 7
Four of six standards	*
Five of six standards	\$
Six of six standards	\$

Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Chronic Absenteeism by Stud	2020-2	1 School Year		
Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	718	702	84	12.00%
Female	334	324	42	13.00%
Male	384	378	42	11.10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	1	100.00%
Asian	14	13	0	0.00%
Black or African American	127	125	22	17.60%
Filipino	40	39	0	0.00%
Hispanic or Latino	470	460	48	10.40%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	4	1	25.00%
Two or More Races	28	27	5	18.50%
White	33	32	7	21.90%
English Learners	202	199	24	12.10%
Foster Youth	7	7	3	42.90%
Homeless	8	6	2	33.30%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	609	597	82	13.70%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.00%
Students with Disabilities	87	85	14	16.50%

Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and the sites' School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) document the selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on studentachievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is continuing to focus professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics, Equity, Social-Emotional Learning and Multi-Tiered Systems of support.

Every teacher participates in three fullrelease professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators also provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers collaborate with their colleagues to create common lessons/units that they teach in their classrooms.

Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available to support implementing core curricula, intervention programs, and English language development (ELD), and include but are not limited to structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.

Professional Development Days

Number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement

2019-20	3
2020-21	3
2021-22	3



SARC Reporting in the 2020–21 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

The CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students (grades 5, 8 and high school)

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standard on the CAASPP – California Science Test (CAST) and the California Alternate Assessment for Science (CAA for Science) for grades 5, 8, and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard					Тwo	-Year Data		
	MLK, Jr. JHS Pittsburg USD			MLK, Jr. JHS		rg USD	Calif	ornia
Subject	19-20	20-21	19-20	20-21	19-20	20-21		
Science		* **		***		28.72%		

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students taking and completing a state-administered assessment

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard					Two	-Year Data	
	MLK, Jr. JHS Pittsburg USD				California		
Subject	19-20	20-21	19-20	20-21	19-20	20-21	
English language arts/literacy		*		*		*	
Mathematics	-	*		*		*	

Data for 2019–20 are not available due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting summative test suspension. The Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019–20 school year.

- * This school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.
- Data for 2020–21 are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020–21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020–21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020–21 school years.

Statewide Assessments

Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- **1.** Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).



Note

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, California Governor Gavin Newsom issued Executive Order N-30-20 which waived the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–20 school year. Also due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the U.S. Department of Education approved a waiver of the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–20 school year, as specified on the CDE Every Student Succeeds Act web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/es/.



CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science (grade 8)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exc	20	20-21 School Year			
Science					
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	***	* **	***	***	***
Female	**	* **	***	* **	* **
Male	* **	***	* **	* **	* **
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	***	* **	* **	***
Asian	* **	***	* **	* **	* **
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	***
Filipino	* **	* **	* **	* **	***
Hispanic or Latino	* **	**	* **	***	***
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	* **	* **	* **	* **	***
Two or more races	* **	**	* **	***	***
White	* **	***	* **	* **	* **
English Learners	* **	**	* **	***	***
Foster Youth	* **	***	* **	* **	**
Homeless	* **	* **	* **	*	* **
Military	* **	* **	* **	* **	* **
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	**	* **	**	***	*
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	* **	***	* **	*
Students with Disabilities	**	***	* **	***	***

 $\ensuremath{\clubsuit}$ This school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.





Local Assessment Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grades 6-8) Assessment Name: iReady ELA

Percentage of Students At or Above Grade Level 2020-21 School Year						
English Language Arts						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage At or Above Grade Level	
All students	678	668	98.5%	1.5%	15.0%	
Female	312	307	98.4%	1.6%	18.0%	
Male	366	361	98.6%	1.4%	12.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	
Asian	14	14	100.0%	0.0%	36.0%	
Black or African American	119	118	99.2%	0.8%	5.0%	
Filipino	39	39	100.0%	0.0%	41.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	442	434	98.2%	1.8%	14.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	
Two or more races	26	25	96.2%	3.8%	36.0%	
White	32	32	100.0%	0.0%	9.0%	
English Learners	176	174	98.9%	1.1%	3.0%	
Foster Youth	*	*	*	*	*	
Homeless	*	*	*	*	*	
Military	48	47	97.9%	2.1%	11.0%	
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	558	551	98.7%	1.3%	12.0%	
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	*	*	*	*	
Students with Disabilities	103	101	98.1%	1.9%	6.0%	

Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the SARC than for other public assessment reports. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: Where the most viable option, districts were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the district (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the district) due to the pandemic, districts were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021 (https://www.cde.ca.gov/be/ag/ag/yr21/documents/mar21item02addendum.docx).





Local Assessment Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grades 6-8) Assessment Name: MARS Performance Task

Percentage of Students At or Above Grade Level					20-21 School Year	
Mathematics						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage At or Above Grade Level	
All students	678	661	97.5%	2.5%	8.0%	
Female	312	303	97.1%	2.9%	8.0%	
Male	366	358	97.8%	2.2%	8.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	
Asian	14	13	92.9%	7.1%	8.0%	
Black or African American	119	118	99.2%	0.8%	3.0%	
Filipino	39	39	100.0%	0.0%	33.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	442	430	97.3%	2.7%	6.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	
Two or more races	26	24	92.3%	7.7%	21.0%	
White	32	31	96.9%	3.1%	6.0%	
English Learners	176	171	97.2%	2.8%	2.0%	
Foster Youth	*	*	*	*	*	
Homeless	*	*	*	*	*	
Military	48	46	95.8%	4.2%	2.0%	
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	558	547	98.0%	2.0%	7.0%	
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	*	*	*	*	
Students with Disabilities	103	98	95.1%	4.9%	3.0%	

Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the SARC than for other public assessment reports. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: Where the most viable option, districts were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the district (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the district) due to the pandemic, districts were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021 (https://www.cde.ca.gov/be/ag/ag/yr21/documents/mar21item02addendum.docx).



10



School Facility Good Repair Status

The table shows the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor. At the time of this school facility inspection, no deficiencies were found.

School Facility Good Repair Status	22 School Year
Items Inspected	Repair Status
Systems: Gas leaks, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and HVAC)	Good
Interior: Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)	Good
Cleanliness: Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness	Good
Electrical: Electrical systems	Good
Restrooms/fountains: Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains	Good
Safety: Fire safety, emergency systems, hazardous materials	Good
Structural: Structural condition, roofs	Good
External: Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school grounds	Good
Overall summary of facility conditions	Exemplary
Date of the most recent school site inspection	8/20/2021



Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional development including ELA and math trainings, cross-cultural language and academic-development ELD and data protocol
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- Summer programs
- Credit recovery programs

School Facilities

Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High School is a facility that opened on August 22, 2012. There are 30 classrooms, a library, gymnasium, and baseball and soccer fields.

There is a very large courtyard with two covered areas for eating lunch.

Three full-time custodians clean and maintain the school on a daily basis. The head custodian works early morning to afternoon. The evening custodians work from afternoon to night. District gardeners maintain our school on a rotating weekly basis

Our school resource officer, campus resource assistants and administration work together to maintain a safe and secure campus environment.

Security cameras are available and monitor all areas of the school.

Exterior gates of the school are locked immediately at the sound of the 8:30 a.m. bell. The gates to the side street are also locked. All gates remain locked until the end of the school day. All visitors must enter the school through the main doors of the administration building.

Once scholars arrive at school, they are not allowed to leave campus. Cameras and duty supervisors around the school help us to monitor activity and to ensure the safety of our staff and scholars. Our afterschool program uses classrooms, computer labs, the cafeteria, and gym for recreation and learning environments after the regular school day. Scholars are not allowed off campus during these sessions, and the gates are locked to keep scholars safe until parents arrive to pick them up.

All classrooms are completely filled. There is no space for portables to support future growth on campus. We have only six basketball courts and the soccer fields have been impacted by gophers creating unleveled ground.



"If you can't fly then run, if you can't run then walk, if you can't walk then crawl, but whatever you do you have to keep moving forward." ~Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject

2021-22 School Year		
Reading/language arts	0%	
Mathematics	0%	
Science	0%	
History/social science	0%	
Visual and performing arts	0%	
Foreign language	0%	
Health	0%	

Currency of Textbooks

This table displays the date when the most recent hearing was held to adopt a resolution on the sufficiency of instructional materials.

Currency of Textbooks		
2021-22 School Year		
Data collection date	9/8/2021	

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees, adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks and instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials List 2021-22 School Year				
Subject	Textbook	Adopted		
Reading/language arts	StudySync California, Macmillan McGraw Hill ELD StudySync California, Macmillan McGraw Hill	2017		
Reading/language arts	Inside Fundamentals Vol. 1 and Vol. 2, National Geographic Learning (6-8)	2014		
Mathematics	California Course 1, 2, 3; Algebra, Macmillan McGraw Hill (6-8)	2016		
Science	Science, Holt (6)	2007		
Science	Life Science, Prentice Hall (7)	2007		
Science	Physical Science, Prentice Hall (8)	2007		
History/social science	Ancient Civilizations, National Geographic Learning (6)	2019		
History/social science	Medieval & World History, National Geographic Learning (7)	2019		
History/social science	History to WWI, National Geographic Learning (8)	2019		
World Languages	Santillana (6-8)	2016		
Worlds Languages (DI)	Santillana En Español (6-8)	2017		

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

Quality of Textbooks	2	021-22 School Year
Criteria		Yes/No
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved governing-board-approved list?	or local	Yes



Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available at a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



Teacher Preparation and Placement

The teacher data displayed in this SARC is from the 2020-21 school year. This table displays the number and percent of teacher authorization/assignment as well as the total number and percent of teaching positions at the school, district, and state levels. For questions concerning the assignment of teachers outside their subject area of competence or the credential status of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

Teacher Preparation and Placement				2020-21 School Year		
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District State Stat Percent Number Perce		
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	26.3	78.8%	465.3	89.2%	228,366.1	83.1%
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.0	3.0%	5.2	1.0%	4,205.9	1.5%
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	3.1	9.6%	13.9	2.7%	11,216.7	4.1%
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	2.1	6.5%	15.9	3.0%	12,115.8	4.4%
Unknown	0.7	2.1%	21.1	4.1%	18,854.3	6.9%
Total Teaching Positions	33.3	100.0%	521.5	100.0%	274,759.1	100.0%

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

For questions concerning the permits, waivers, and misassignment of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

Permits/wavers: Teachers that are issued limited emergency permits by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing that authorize them to temporarily teach courses and student groups they are assigned to without possessing the appropriate credential.

Teacher Misassignments: The assignment of employees to teaching or pupil services positions for which the employee does not hold the required certificate, credential, or other statutory authorization.

Vacant Teacher Positions: The total number of the school's vacant teacher positions.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	2020-21 School Year
Authorization/Assignment	MLK, Jr. JHS
Permits and Waivers	1.7
Misassignments	1.3
Vacant Positions	0.0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	3.1

Note: The data in these tables is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsup-dflash201.asp.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https:// www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

Teacher Preparation and Placement

Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned): The teacher possesses a California preliminary or clear credential issued by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing which permits them to teach the subject and grade levels that they are assigned.

Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned: The teacher possess a California intern credential issued by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing which permits them to teach the subject and grade levels that they are assigned.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under Every Student Succeeds Act [ESSA]): An ineffective teacher is any of the following:

- An individual whose assignment is legally authorized by an emergency permit that does not require possession of a full teaching license; or
- A teacher who holds a teaching credential but does not possess a permit or authorization that temporarily allows them to teach outside of their credentialed area (misassigned); or
- An individual who holds no credential, permit, or authorization to teach in California.
 - "Ineffective" includes the following limited term emergency permits:
 - Provisional Internship Permits;
 - Short-Term Staff Permits;
 - Variable Term Waivers; and
 - Substitute permits or Teaching Permits for Statutory Leave (TSPL) holders serving as the teacher of record.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Outof-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA):

A credentialed teacher who has not yet demonstrated subject matter competence in the subject area(s) or for the student population to which he or she is assigned. Under this definition, the following limited permits will be considered out of field:

- General Education Limited Assignment Permit (GELAP)
- Special Education Limited Assignment Permit (SELAP)
- Short-Term Waivers
- Emergency English Learner or Bilingual Authorization Permits
- Local Assignment Options

Unknown: These are instances where either information about the course assignment or the teacher is either missing or has been identified as incorrect. Therefore, an accurate assignment status cannot be currently made.



Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver: Teachers that are issued limited emergency permits by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing that authorize them to temporarily teach courses and student groups they are assigned to without possessing the appropriate credential.

Local Assignment Options: Means if an employing agency is unable to assign a teacher or other certificated employee with an appropriate credential, it can assign any fully-credentialed teacher outside of their area of credential authorization if the local educational agency abides by requirements in California Education Code and those required by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Administrator's Assignment Manual at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field	2020-21 School Year
Indicator	MLK, Jr. JHS
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	1.1
Local Assignment Options	0.9
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	2.1

Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data

2020-21 School Year		
	Ratio	
Pupils to Academic counselors	350:1	
Support Staff	FTE	
Counselor (academic, social/behavioral or career development)	2.00	
Library media teacher (librarian)	0.00	
Library media services staff (paraprofessional)	1.00	
Psychologist	1.00	
Social worker	0.00	
Nurse	0.50	
Speech/language/hearing specialist	0.50	
Resource specialist (nonteaching)	0.00	

Class Assignments

Misassignments for English Learners: The assignment of employees to teaching or pupil services positions with English Learners for which the employee does not hold the required certificate, credential, or other statutory authorization.

No credential, permit or authorization to teach: The teacher does not possess any type of authorization, permit, waiver, or certificate issued by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing to teach in California schools.

Misassignment and vacant teacher position data should be available in the district's personnel office.

Class Assignments	2020-21 School Year
Indicator	MLK, Jr. JHS
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	4.2%
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classe taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	es 0.0%

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsup-dflash201.asp.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.





Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2019-20 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

Salary Data		2019-20 Fiscal Year
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
Beginning teacher salary	\$51,946	\$52,562
Midrange teacher salary	\$77,574	\$83,575
Highest teacher salary	\$96,401	\$104,166
Average elementary school principal salary	\$126,017	\$131,875
Average middle school principal salary	\$135,387	\$137,852
Average high school principal salary	\$138,600	\$150,626
Superintendent salary	\$240,000	\$260,243
Teacher salaries: percentage of budget	33%	34%
Administrative salaries: percentage of budget	6%	5%

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison		2019-20 Fiscal Year	
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary	
MLK, Jr. JHS	\$7,763	\$74,185	
Pittsburg USD	\$9,115	\$78,002	
California	\$8,444	\$86,376	
School and district: percentage difference	-14.8%	-4.9%	
School and California: percentage difference	-8.1%	-14.1%	

School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data					
2019-20 Fiscal Year					
Total expenditures \$9,021					
Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources	\$1,258				
Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources	\$7,763				
Annual average teacher salary	\$74,185				

Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.



California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard. org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Martin Luther King, Jr. Junior High School

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education and school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1. All data accurate as of January 2022.

School Accountability Report Card

PUBLISHED BY:



School Innovations & Achievement www.sia-us.com | 800.487.9234



LEA-Level CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grades 3-8 and 11) For students taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards 2020-21 School Year						
English Language Arts						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded	
LEAwide	5,730	17	0.30%	99.70%	5.88%	
Female	2,809	7	0.25%	99.75%		
Male	2,920	10	0.34%	99.66%		
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	172	1	0.58%	99.42%		
Black or African American	887	2	0.23%	99.77%		
Filipino	251	2	0.80%	99.20%		
Hispanic or Latino	3,765	11	0.29%	99.71%	9.09%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	60	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Two or more races	298	0	0.00%	100.00%		
White	289	1	0.35%	99.65%		
English Learners	1,393	6	0.43%	99.57%		
Foster Youth	37	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Homeless	102	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Military	303	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	2,357	4	0.17%	99.83%		
Students receiving Migrant Education services	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Students with Disabilities	670	17	2.54%	97.46%	5.88%	

Note: N/T values indicate that the schools in this LEA did not test students using the CAASPP for ELA.





LEA-Level CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11) For students taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards 2020-21 School					20-21 School Year	
Mathematics						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded	
LEAwide	5,730	17	0.30%	99.70%	5.88%	
Female	2,809	7	0.25%	99.75%		
Male	2,920	10	0.34%	99.66%		
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	172	1	0.58%	99.42%		
Black or African American	887	2	0.23%	99.77%		
Filipino	251	2	0.80%	99.20%		
Hispanic or Latino	3,765	11	0.29%	99.71%	9.09%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	60	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Two or more races	298	0	0.00%	100.00%		
White	289	1	0.35%	99.65%		
English Learners	1,393	6	0.43%	99.57%		
Foster Youth	37	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Homeless	102	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Military	303	0	0.00%	100.00%		
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	2,357	4	0.17%	99.83%		
Students receiving Migrant Education services	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Students with Disabilities	670	17	2.54%	97.46%	5.88%	

Note: N/T values indicate that the schools in this LEA did not test students using the CAASPP for Mathematics.





LEA-Level Local Assessment Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grades 3-8 and 11) Assessment Name: iReady ELA & Math (Elem, JHS); iReady ELA & MARS Math Performance Task (HS)

Percentage of Students At or Above Grade Level				2020-21 School Year		
English Language Arts						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage At or Above Grade Level	
LEAwide	5,723	5,250	91.7%	8.3%	16%	
Female	2,811	2,611	92.9%	7.1%	18%	
Male	2,911	2,638	90.6%	9.4%	14%	
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	174	169	97.1%	2.9%	30%	
Black or African American	876	776	88.6%	11.4%	11%	
Filipino	255	242	94.9%	5.1%	37%	
Hispanic or Latino	3,773	3,471	92.0%	8.0%	13%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	64	56	87.5%	12.5%	14%	
Two or more races	270	254	94.1%	5.9%	22%	
White	287	263	91.6%	8.4%	26%	
English Learners	1,383	1,251	90.5%	9.5%	2%	
Foster Youth						
Homeless	46	42	91.3%	8.7%	10%	
Military	863	774	89.7%	10.3%	13%	
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	4,524	4,164	92.0%	8.0%	13%	
Students receiving Migrant Education services						
Students with Disabilities	803	684	85.2%	14.8%	7%	

Note: N/T values indicate that the schools in this LEA did not test students using the CAASPP for ELA.





LEA-Level Local Assessment Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11) Assessment Name: iReady ELA & Math (Elem, JHS); iReady ELA & MARS Math Performance Task (HS)

Percentage of Students At or Above Grade Level				2020-21 School Year		
Mathematics						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage At or Above Grade Level	
LEAwide	5,723	5,034	88.0%	12.0%	11%	
Female	2,811	2,504	89.1%	10.9%	12%	
Male	2,911	2,529	86.9%	13.1%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	174	157	90.2%	9.8%	20%	
Black or African American	876	756	86.3%	13.7%	7%	
Filipino	255	233	91.4%	8.6%	35%	
Hispanic or Latino	3,773	3,318	87.9%	12.1%	9%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	64	54	84.4%	15.6%	7%	
Two or more races	270	250	92.6%	7.4%	17%	
White	287	247	86.1%	13.9%	14%	
English Learners	1,383	1,198	86.6%	13.4%	3%	
Foster Youth						
Homeless	46	40	87.0%	13.0%	3%	
Military	863	740	85.7%	14.3%	10%	
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	4,524	3,992	88.2%	11.8%	9%	
Students receiving Migrant Education services						
Students with Disabilities	803	662	82.4%	17.6%	5%	

Note: N/T values indicate that the schools in this LEA did not test students using the CAASPP for Mathematics.

