Pittsburg Unified School District

2000 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 • www.pittsburgusd.net Janet Schulze, Superintendent • jschulze@pittsburgusd.net • (925) 473-2300

SARC 2021-22

School Accountability Report Card Published in 2022-23


Black Diamond High School

Grades 10-12 CDS Code 07-61788-0730184

Phil Lucido, Principal plucido@pittsburgusd.net

1131 Stoneman Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 (925) 473-2510

www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/Domain/19





Principal's Message

Black Diamond High School (BDHS) is dedicated to providing a unique educational setting with a safe family atmosphere. Black Diamond strives to provide all students with a personalized program that promotes the necessary academic growth, cultural sensitivity, respect for self and others, positive self-image, and decision-making skills. Black Diamond's vision is that its graduates will be effective communicators, complex thinkers, self-directed adults, collaborative workers and responsible citizens. During the 2019-20 school year, the California Department of Education recognized Black Diamond High School as a Model Continuation High School, and it received its updated accreditation in the spring of 2018. We also strive to support students in other ways by creating a community and giving them opportunity to do things both on and off campus. We have created an advisory program to help bring community and culture to the school, a basketball program to allow students to compete, clubs and activities to provide students with opportunities to express themselves.

School Mission Statement

At Black Diamond High School, the academic and social-emotional growth of each student is our primary focus. We provide an environment for our students to become successful and confident learners. Stakeholders work together to provide meaningful academic, counseling, and personal support for each student to ensure that everyone is uniquely valued.

School Vision Statement

Black Diamond High School has an experienced, diverse staff that strives to provide a program reflecting the following values:

- BDHS is committed to challenging the growth of all students
- BDHS considers families to be an integral part of our community
- BDHS motivates students to learn, work and flourish in a creative environment
- BDHS supports all students in gaining the necessary skills and meeting the requirements to graduate

Parental Involvement

Through our parent liaison, parents are kept informed of activities at the school and any issues concerning their individual student. Teachers have committed to maintaining contact with the families of the students in their advisory group. This will include an introductory phone call at the beginning of the year, phone calls to invite families to events at the school (including back-to-school night, awards assemblies and open house) and any issues of concern. Parents are given the opportunity at orientation to sign up to participate in the school in a variety of ways, including participating in potlucks for assemblies, the School Site Council (SSC) and the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), or making presentations in classes of interest to the students.

For more information on how to become involved at the school, contact parent liaisons Maria Espinosa and Tandeka Porter-Vann at (925) 473-2510.

School Safety

Our school participates in a yearly safety drill with the city and county. Fire drills are conducted at least twice a year. We maintain a sign-in requirement for visitors and speakers to classrooms. The staff is provided with photo-identification badges to wear at all times.

Parents may view or peruse a copy of the Disaster Preparedness Plan in the principal's office.

Emergency-preparedness training and first-aid kits are given to all rooms, grades 10-12.

The school safety plan was last reviewed, updated and discussed with the school faculty in August 2022.



School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.

District Mission Statement

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District (PUSD) to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community, and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

Governing Board


- Mr. Duane Smith, President
- Ms. Taylor Sims, Vice President
- Mr. George Miller, Trustee
- Mr. De'Shawn Woolridge, Trustee
- Mr. Heliodoro Moreno, Provisional Appointment

Enrollment by Student Group

Demographics						
2021-22 School Year						
Female	38.50%					
Male	61.00%					
Non-Binary	0.50%					
English learners	35.30%					
Foster youth	1.60%					
Homeless	7.50%					
Migrant	0.00%					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86.10%					
Students with Disabilities	15.00%					


Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 187 students for the 2021-22 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.



Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size, and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size. The number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.



Number of Classrooms by Size					٦	Three-Yea	ar Data		
		2019-20			2020-21			2021-22	
Cubicat	Number of Students								
Subject	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	21-32	33+
English language arts	19			13			15		
Mathematics	7			10			10		
Science	10			10			10		
History/social science	16			14			15		

Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2021-22 school year.





Suspensions and Expulsions

SARC

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through June, each full school year respectively.

Suspensions and Expulsions					Two	-Year Data
	Black Dia	mond HS	Pittsburg USD		California	
	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22
Suspension rates	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	5.8%	0.2%	3.4%
Expulsion rates	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%

Note: Data collected during the 2020–21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Suspensions and Exp		2019-20 School Year	
	Black Diamond HS	Pittsburg USD	California
	19-20	19-20	19-20
Suspension rates	12.4%	6.0%	2.5%
Expulsion rates	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%

Note: The 2019–20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019–20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019–20 school year compared to other school years.

Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Suspensions and Expulsions by Studen	t Group	2021-22 School Year	
Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate	
All Students	9.0%	0.0%	
Female	7.3%	0.0%	
Male	10.1%	0.0%	
Non-Binary	0.0%	0.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	
Black or African American	14.3%	0.0%	
Filipino	0.0%	0.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	7.8%	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	
Two or More Races	9.1%	0.0%	
White	6.3%	0.0%	
English Learners	9.2%	0.0%	
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%	
Homeless	9.7%	0.0%	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.6%	0.0%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0%	0.0%	
Students with Disabilities	14.6%	0.0%	

Board Goals

1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports, including Ethnic Studies
- Our belief is every student is entitled to a high school diploma and our goals and commitment is to achieve a 100% graduation rate

2. Powerful Instruction

 Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit "highly qualified" and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

4. Quality Learning Environment


- High-quality facilities
- Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- State-of-the-art technology

5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization

- Fiscal stability and responsible longrange planning
- Comprehensive accountability system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service

6. Meaningful Collaboration,

- Partnership and Parental Engagement
- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students' academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff
 communication



Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and the sites' School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) document the selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on studentachievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is continuing to focus professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics, Equity, Social-Emotional Learning and Multi-Tiered Systems of support.

Every teacher participates in three fullrelease professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators also provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers collaborate with their colleagues to create common lessons/units that they teach in their classrooms.

Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available to support implementing core curricula, intervention programs, and English language development (ELD), and include but are not limited to structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.

Professional Development Days

Number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement

2020-21	3
2021-22	3
2022-23	3

Career Technical Education Programs

The school has realized the need to address career preparation and does so through a variety of measures, including workshops to discuss job-applicable skills, guest speakers from industry programs, job shadowing in certain fields to recognize needed skills, field trips to various job sites to allow for students to see and understand the work environment, and mock interviews with local job groups to give students an idea of what is necessary for the field. Most of these activities are open to all students, but several target specific groups to identify their place in particular fields (for example, women in the construction field).

Career Technical Education Participation

This table displays information about participation in the school's Career Technical Education (CTE) programs.

Career Technical Education Data	2021-22 Participation		
		Black Diamond HS	
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0		
Percentage of pupils who completed a CTE program and earne school diploma	0%		
Percentage of CTE courses that are sequenced or articulated be school and institutions of postsecondary education	etween a	0%	

Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Chronic Absenteeism by Stud	2021-22 School Year			
Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	365	305	262	85.90%
Female	137	123	113	91.90%
Male	227	181	148	81.80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0.00%
Asian	0	0	0	0.00%
Black or African American	70	55	50	90.90%
Filipino	4	4	4	100.00%
Hispanic or Latino	256	215	181	84.20%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5	4	4	100.00%
Two or More Races	11	11	10	90.90%
White	16	13	11	84.60%
English Learners	119	100	85	85.00%
Foster Youth	9	8	8	100.00%
Homeless	31	31	30	96.80%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	324	273	233	85.30%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.00%
Students with Disabilities	48	40	36	90.00%



SARC Reporting in the 2020–21 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- · Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

The CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- · Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- · Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students (grades 5, 8 and high school)

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standard on the CAASPP – California Science Test (CAST) and the California Alternate Assessment for Science (CAA for Science) for grades 5, 8, and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard					Two	-Year Data
	Black Diamond HS		Pittsburg USD		California	
Subject	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22
Science	*	1.33%	*	14.89%	28.50%	29.47%

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard				rd	Two	-Year Data
	Black Diamond HS Pittsburg USD			rg USD	Calif	ornia
Subject	20-21	21-22	20-21 21-2		20-21	21-22
English language arts/literacy	*	6%	*	33%	*	47%
Mathematics	*	0%	*	15%	*	33%

▲ This school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Data for 2020–21 are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020–21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020–21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020–21 school years.

Statewide Assessments

Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).



CAASPP by Student Group: Science, English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the percentage of students that met or exceeded state standards in science, English language arts/literacy and mathematics for the school by student groups.

The "percentage met or exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science (high school)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exc		202	21-22 School Year			
Science						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percenta Not Test	age ted	Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	190	150	78.95%	21.05%	%	1.33%
Female	82	68	82.93%	17.07%	%	0.00%
Male	108	82	75.93%	24.07%	6	2.44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*		*
Asian	*	*	*	*		*
Black or African American	36	26	72.22%	27.78%	6	0.00%
Filipino	*	*	*	*		*
Hispanic or Latino	132	107	81.06%	18.94%	6	0.93%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*		*
Two or more races	*	*	*	*		*
White	*	*	*	*		*
English Learners	63	51	80.95%	19.05%	6	0.00%
Foster Youth	*	*	*	*		*
Homeless	16	11	68.75%	31.25%	6	0.00%
Military	*	*	*	*		*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	159	128	80.50%	19.50%	%	0.78%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	*	*	*		*
Students with Disabilities	28	15	53.57%	46.43%	%	0.00%

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grade 11)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exc		2021-22 School Year			
English Language Arts					
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentag Not Teste	
All students	127	110	86.61%	13.39%	6.36%
Female	56	50	89.29%	10.71%	6.00%
Male	71	60	84.51%	15.49%	6.67%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	23	18	78.26%	21.74%	5.56%
Filipino	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	91	80	87.91%	12.09%	6.25%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*
Two or more races	*	*	*	*	*
White	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	38	34	89.47%	10.53%	0.00%
Foster Youth	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless	*	*	*	*	*
Military	*	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	106	96	90.57%	9.43%	7.29%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	14	8	57.14%	42.86%	*

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grade 11)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exc	20	21-22 School Year				
Mathematics						
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested		Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	126	109	86.51%	13.499	%	0.00%
Female	55	49	89.09%	10.919	%	0.00%
Male	71	60	84.51%	15.499	%	0.00%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*		*
Asian	*	*	*	*		*
Black or African American	23	18	78.26%	21.74%		0.00%
Filipino	*	*	*	*		*
Hispanic or Latino	90	79	87.78%	12.22%		0.00%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*		*
Two or more races	*	*	*	*		*
White	*	*	*	*		*
English Learners	37	33	89.19%	10.819	%	0.00%
Foster Youth	*	*	*	*		*
Homeless	*	*	*	*		*
Military	*	*	*	*		*
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	105	95	90.48%	9.52%		0.00%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	*	*	*	*		*
Students with Disabilities	14	8	57.14%	42.869	%	*

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.





Graduation and Dropout Rates

This table displays the graduation and dropout rates for the most recent three-year period for which data is available. The four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate is the number of students who graduate in four years with a regular high school diploma divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. From the beginning of grade 9 (or the earliest high school grade), students who are entering that grade for the first time form a cohort that is "adjusted" by adding any students who subsequently transfer into the cohort and subtracting any students who subsequently transfer out.

Graduation and Dropout Rates					Three-	/ear Data	
	Graduation Rate			D	Dropout Rate		
	19-20	20-21	21-22	19-20	20-21	21-22	
Black Diamond HS	60.10%	60.10%	67.90%	9.80%	9.80%	19.80%	
Pittsburg USD	86.50%	86.50%	88.30%	4.60%	4.60%	7.70%	
California	84.20%	84.20%	87.00%	8.90%	8.90%	7.80%	

Graduation Rate by Student Group (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Graduation Rate by Student Group	0 2021-22 School 1		
Student Group	Number of Students in Cohort	Number of Cohort Graduates	Cohort Graduation Rate
All Students	106	72	67.90%
Female	45	32	71.10%
Male	61	40	65.60%
Non-Binary	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Black or African American	21	14	66.70%
Filipino	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	70	48	68.60%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*
Two or More Races	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
English Learners	40	28	70.00%
Foster Youth	*	*	*
Homeless	22	15	68.20%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	102	69	67.60%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	22	13	59.10%

Advanced Placement Courses

No information is available for Black Diamond High School regarding Advanced PLacement (AP) courses offered.

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

The table displays two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California and California State University admission for the most recent year for which data is available. For more detailed information, visit http:// dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information web page at http://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu. For admission, application and fee information, see the CSU web page at www. calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml.

UC/CSU Admission					
Black Diamond HS					
2020-21 and 2021-22 School Years					
Percentage of students enrolled in courses required for UC/CSU admission in 2021-22	97.33%				
Percentage of graduates who completed all courses required for UC/CSU admission in 2020-21	0.00%				

California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard. org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject

2022-23 School Year

0%
0%
0%
0%
÷
0%
0%
0%

Currency of Textbooks

This table displays the date when the most recent hearing was held to adopt a resolution on the sufficiency of instructional materials.

Currency of Textbooks					
2022-23 School Year					
Data collection date	9/16/2022				

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

Quality of Textbooks					
2022-23 School Year					
Criteria	Yes/No				
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state- approved or local governing- board-approved list?	Yes				

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees, adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks and instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Inst	ructional Materials List 2022-23	School Year
Subject	Textbook	Adopted
English Language Arts 1	Writer's Inc. Student Handbook College Career Readiness, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	2020
English Language Arts 1	The Absolutely True Diary of a Part-Time Indian, Brown and Company	2020
English Language Arts 1	Once Upon a Quinceanera: Coming of Age in the USA, Penguin Group, Inc.	2020
English Language Arts 1	All American Boys, Athenaeum/Caitlyn Dlouhy Books	2020
English Language Arts 1	Romeo & Juliet from Folger Shakespeare library, Simon and Schuster Paperbacks	2020
English Language Arts 2 English 2 Honors	Writer's Inc.: A Student Handbook College Career Readiness, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	2020
English Language Arts 2 English 2 Honors	The Distance Between Us: A Memoir, Washington Square Press	2020
English Language Arts 2 English 2 Honors	A Raisin in the Sun, Modern Library	2020
English Language Arts 2 English 2 Honors	Born a Crime: Stories from a South African Childhood, Penguin Books Ltd.	2020
English Language Arts 2 English 2 Honors	Zoot Suit and Other Plays, Arte Publico Press	2020
English Language Arts 3	A Writer's Reference, 9th ed., Bedford St. Martin's	2020
English Language Arts 3	Between the World and Me, Spiegel/Grau	2020
English Language Arts 3	50 Essays: A Portable Anthology, 5th ed., Bedford, Freeman, & Worth	2020
ERWC	A Writer's Reference, 9th ed., Bedford St. Martin's	2020
ERWC	The Handmaid's Tale, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	2020
ERWC	Autobiography of Malcolm X, Ballantine	2020
Algebra 1	Common Core Edition Algebra 1, Glencoe/McGraw Hill	2016
Geometry	Common Core Edition Geometry, Glencoe/McGraw Hill	2016
Algebra 2	Common Core Edition Algebra 2, Glencoe/McGraw Hill	2016
Precalculus	Precalculus with Limits: A Graphing Approach, Houghton Mifflin	2008
Calculus	Calculus with Analytic Geometry, Houghton Mifflin	2006
History	CA Impact: World History, Culture & Geography: The Modern World, McGraw Hill Education (10)	2019
History	CA Impact: United States History & Geography: Continuity & Change, McGraw Hill Education (11)	2019
History	CA Impact: Principles of Economics, McGraw Hill Education (12)	2019
History	CA Impact: Principles of American Democracy, McGraw Hill Education (12)	2019

Textbooks and Instructional Materials, Continued from page 12

Textbooks and Inst	2022-2	22-23 School Year		
Subject	Textbook		Adopted	
American Government	Magruder's American Government, Pearson/Pre	entice Hall	2006	
Economics	Economics: Principles in Action, Pearson/Pren	tice Hall	2007	
World Languages	<i>Español</i> , Santillana		2014	
World Languages	D'accord!, Vista Higher Learning	2015		
World Languages	Sentieri, Vista Higher Learning	2016		
Biology	Biology, McDougal Littell	2007		
Chemistry	Chemistry: California, Pearson/Prentice H	2007		
Physics	Physics: California, Holt, Rinehart and Win	2007		
Anatomy & Physiology	Essentials of Human Anatomy & Physiology (8th Pearson Benjamin Cummings	h Edition),	2007	

School Facility Good Repair Status

The table shows the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor. At the time of this school facility inspection, no deficiencies were found.

School Facility Good Repair Status 2022-2		3 School Year	
Items Inspected	Repair Status		
Systems: Gas leaks, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation a	Good		
Interior: Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)		Good	
Cleanliness: Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness	Good		
Electrical: Electrical systems	Good		
Restrooms/fountains: Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains	Good		
Safety: Fire safety, emergency systems, hazardous materials	Good		
Structural: Structural condition, roofs	Good		
External: Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school ground	Good		
Overall summary of facility conditions	Exemplary		
Date of the most recent school site inspection		7/21/2022	

"Black Diamond High School is dedicated to providing a unique educational setting with a safe family atmosphere."

School Facilities

Black Diamond High School opened in the fall of 2012. There are 19 classrooms and one media technology center. A multipurpose room doubles as a cafeteria and a gymnasium. There are several offices to accommodate support staff and office personnel.

The classrooms at Black Diamond are sufficient to meet the needs for students to engage in a healthy learning environment. Our site is inspected on a monthly basis according to the guidelines generated by the Williams settlement decision. Each classroom is equipped with projectors and ELMOs (document enhanced technology).

Black Diamond is cleaned on a consistent basis. There is one head custodian who serves our campus during the school day and a second custodian who cleans the campus during the evening.

The site administrator and the custodial staff address school cleanliness daily. We clean the restrooms on a routine basis, note discrepancies, create work orders and make corrections in a timely manner. School grounds and facilities are in excellent condition for the student enrollment assigned to the site. Deferred maintenance items are scheduled and completed in a timely manner.

Two campus resource assistants, the vice principal and principal supervise students before, during and after school. A school resource officer is assigned to the campus.

Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional development including ELA and math trainings, cross-cultural language and academic-development ELD and data protocol
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- Summer programs
- Credit recovery programs

Teacher Preparation and Placement

The teacher data displayed in this SARC is from the 2020-21 and the 2021-22 school years. This table displays the number and percent of teacher authorization/assignment as well as the total number and percent of teaching positions at the school, district, and state levels. For questions concerning the assignment of teachers outside their subject area of competence or the credential status of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

Teacher Preparation and Placement					2020-2	21 School Year
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	14.7	91.7%	465.3	89.2%	228,366.1	83.1%
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.0	0.0%	5.2	1.0%	4,205.9	1.5%
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.0	0.0%	13.9	2.7%	11,216.7	4.1%
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	1.0	6.5%	15.9	3.0%	12,115.8	4.4%
Unknown	0.3	1.9%	21.1	4.1%	18,854.3	6.9%
Total Teaching Positions	16.0	100.0%	521.5	100.0%	274,759.1	100.0%

Teacher Preparation and Placement					2021-2	22 School Year
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	13.6	80.0%	449.5	85.0%	234,405.2	84.0%
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.0	0.0%	16.0	3.0%	4,853.0	1.7%
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.0	0.0%	19.7	3.7%	12,001.5	4.3%
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	2.6	15.5%	17.5	3.3%	11,953.1	4.3%
Unknown	0.7	4.4%	26.1	5.0%	15,831.9	5.7%
Total Teaching Positions	17.0	100.0%	529.0	100.0%	279,044.8	100.0%

Note: The data in these tables is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsupdflash201.asp.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.



Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of authorization/assignments of teachers as well as the total number of teachers without credentials and misassignments at the school level. For questions concerning the permits, waivers, and misassignment of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	Two-Year Data	
Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.0	0.0
Misassignments	0.0	0.0
Vacant Positions	0.0	0.0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.0	0.0

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of teachers and the total out-of-field teachers at the school level.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Administrator's Assignment Manual at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field	Two-Year Data	
Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.0	0.0
Local Assignment Options	1.0	2.6
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	1.0	2.6

Class Assignments

This table displays the number of teachers and the total out-of-field teachers at the school level. Misassignment and vacant teacher position data should be available in the district's personnel office.


Class Assignments	Two-Year Data	
Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	2.1%	0.0%
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	1.7%	0.0%

Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsup-dflash201.asp.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https:// www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.



Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data

|--|

	Ratio
Pupils to Academic counselors	114:1
Support Staff	FTE
Counselor (academic, social/behavioral or career development)	0.0
Library media teacher (librarian)	0.0
Library media services staff (paraprofessional)	1.0
Psychologist	0.0
Social worker	0.0
Nurse	1.0
Speech/language/hearing specialist	0.0
Resource specialist (nonteaching)	0.0

School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data 2020-21 Fiscal Year **Total expenditures** \$18,932 per pupil **Expenditures per pupil** \$2,611 from restricted sources **Expenditures per pupil** \$16.320 from unrestricted sources Annual average \$74,599 teacher salary



Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2020-21 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

Salary Data		2020-21 Fiscal Year
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
Beginning teacher salary	\$53,504	\$54,370
Midrange teacher salary	\$79,901	\$82,681
Highest teacher salary	\$99,293	\$106,610
Average elementary school principal salary	\$135,148	\$135,283
Average middle school principal salary	\$143,300	\$141,244
Average high school principal salary	\$156,894	\$152,955
Superintendent salary	\$240,000	\$264,367
Teacher salaries: percentage of budget	33%	33%
Administrative salaries: percentage of budget	6%	5%

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison		2020-21 Fiscal Year
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary
Black Diamond HS	\$16,320	\$74,599
Pittsburg USD	\$8,879	\$78,313
California	\$6,594	\$88,358
School and district: percentage difference	+83.8%	-4.7%
School and California: percentage difference	+147.5%	-15.6%

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education and school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit PataQuest at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountility, state data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1. All data accurate as of January 2023.

Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

School Accountability Report Card





& Achievement