

# Pittsburg Unified School District

2000 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 ▪ [www.pittsburgusd.net](http://www.pittsburgusd.net)  
Janet Schulze, Superintendent ▪ [jschulze@pittsburgusd.net](mailto:jschulze@pittsburgusd.net) ▪ (925) 473-2300



**SARC**  
2021-22

School Accountability  
Report Card  
Published in 2022-23

## Heights Elementary School

Grades TK-5  
CDS Code 07-61788-6004527

Laura Francis, Principal  
[lfrancis@pittsburgusd.net](mailto:lfrancis@pittsburgusd.net)

40 Seeno Street  
Pittsburg, CA 94565  
(925) 473-2410

[www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/Domain/9](http://www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/Domain/9)





### Principal’s Message

The Heights Elementary staff is excited to welcome students back to campus for another in-person year. The staff is committed to seeking out and supporting individual student needs. Several programs will provide equitable support to our students. Heights is partnering with the Mindful Life Project (MLP) for social-emotional learning, which provides a full-time mindfulness facilitator. All classes are receiving a weekly lesson that builds students' social-emotional awareness through mindfulness. Small groups of scholars in grades 1-5 develop these skills further throughout the week through the arts. The MLP facilitator also provides support for staff and families. In addition to this great resource, Heights is excited to welcome a full-time paraprofessional and half-time counselor to support students' emotional well-being and school engagement. We are also proud of our student leaders, who have been trained in conflict resolution strategies and are on the playground daily to support their peers.

Academically, the data indicates that math skills need the most attention. Through coaching support and regular professional development, teachers use more facilitative teaching practices and manipulatives to build conceptual understanding. Teachers also provide three 30-minute weekly differentiated math support during the school day. In addition, to provide more intervention support, after school math academies are held throughout the year for targeted small-group instruction. Heights continues to offer reading intervention throughout the day with 30-minute differentiated reading intervention by support staff and 30-minutes of daily reading intervention through teacher-provided Universal Access. Intervention groups are based on Acadience proficiency cut points and 95% diagnostic (PSI or PASI) data in grades K-5. We are pleased to have four full-time instructional aides provide remedial reading instruction through the 95% Group materials.

### School Mission Statement

Heights Elementary will seek to enable scholars to soar to new heights as we know, value, and give access to high expectations and culturally responsive teaching.

**KNOW**—We need to know who we are in the skin we are in and the contributions of the groups that make up our community.

**VALUE**—As we examine our culture and areas of potential bias, we seek to value each other and recognize what we bring to the table of learning. This means we are not following a deficit model of thinking.

**ACCESS**—When we see our scholars' strengths, we seek to increase their learning opportunities with high expectations and provide access to a quality education, especially for those historically unsupported.

### Parental Involvement

The School Site Council (SSC) and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meet monthly to review progress and monitor the school site plan. All meetings are open to the public. There are several school events that parents are invited to attend through zoom. These events promote understanding of the school goals, programs, as well as school spirit. Some examples are Back-to-School Night, Open House, monthly workshops, Hispanic Heritage and Black History Month Celebrations. For more information on how to get involved, please contact the school office at (925) 473-2410.

### School Safety

The components of the school safety plan are reviewed annually with all staff. The plan in its entirety is reviewed and updated annually and addresses the response to earthquakes, fires, intruders, bomb scares, and other natural disasters. A site safety committee also reviews the plan, implementation, and communication among the staff three times per year. Fire drills are conducted monthly, and drills for earthquakes and intruders are conducted twice a year. All visitors must sign in and receive a visitor’s pass upon entering the school. Parents and staff can access the safety plan in the school office. The school safety plan was last reviewed, updated and discussed with the school faculty in August 2022.



### School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school’s progress, test scores and achievements.

### District Mission Statement

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District (PUSD) to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community, and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

### Governing Board

- Mr. Duane Smith, President
- Ms. Taylor Sims, Vice President
- Mr. George Miller, Trustee
- Mr. De’Shawn Woolridge, Trustee
- Mr. Heliodoro Moreno, Provisional Appointment




*School Motto:  
Soaring to New Heights*

## Enrollment by Student Group

Demographics	
2021-22 School Year	
Female	48.50%
Male	51.50%
Non-Binary	0.00%
English learners	31.10%
Foster youth	0.40%
Homeless	0.00%
Migrant	0.00%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	74.10%
Students with Disabilities	10.80%


## Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 517 students for the 2021-22 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.




## Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size, and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size. The number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.



## Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2021-22 school year.



## Number of Classrooms by Size

Grade	Three-Year Data								
	2019-20			2020-21			2021-22		
	Number of Students								
	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
K	4			4			4		
1		4		4				4	
2		4		4				3	
3		4		4				4	
4			3		3			3	
5		4				3		3	
Special Day Class (SDC)	1			1			1		
Ascend Behavior Class (ABC)	1			1			1		





### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through June, each full school year respectively.

Suspensions and Expulsions					Two-Year Data	
	Heights ES		Pittsburg USD		California	
	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22
<b>Suspension rates</b>	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	5.8%	0.2%	3.4%
<b>Expulsion rates</b>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%

Note: Data collected during the 2020–21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Suspensions and Expulsions				2019-20 School Year
	Heights ES	Pittsburg USD	California	
	19-20	19-20	19-20	
<b>Suspension rates</b>	2.7%	6.0%	2.5%	
<b>Expulsion rates</b>	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	

Note: The 2019–20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019–20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019–20 school year compared to other school years.

### Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group			2021-22 School Year
Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate	
All Students	2.1%	0.0%	
Female	1.1%	0.0%	
Male	3.1%	0.0%	
Non-Binary	0.0%	0.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	
Black or African American	8.9%	0.0%	
Filipino	0.0%	0.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	1.7%	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	
White	0.0%	0.0%	
English Learners	1.5%	0.0%	
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%	
Homeless	0.0%	0.0%	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	2.4%	0.0%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0%	0.0%	
Students with Disabilities	2.5%	0.0%	

### Board Goals

#### 1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports, including Ethnic Studies
- Our belief is every student is entitled to a high school diploma and our goals and commitment is to achieve a 100% graduation rate

#### 2. Powerful Instruction

- Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

#### 3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit “highly qualified” and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

#### 4. Quality Learning Environment

- High-quality facilities
- Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- State-of-the-art technology

#### 5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization

- Fiscal stability and responsible long-range planning
- Comprehensive accountability system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service

#### 6. Meaningful Collaboration, Partnership and Parental Engagement

- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students’ academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff communication



## Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and the sites' School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) document the selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on student-achievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is continuing to focus professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics, Equity, Social-Emotional Learning and Multi-Tiered Systems of support.

Every teacher participates in three full-release professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators also provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers collaborate with their colleagues to create common lessons/units that they teach in their classrooms.

Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available to support implementing core curricula, intervention programs, and English language development (ELD), and include but are not limited to structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.

### Professional Development Days

**Number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement**

2020-21	3
2021-22	3
2022-23	3

## California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. Due to changes to the 2021–22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for the five fitness areas.

Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit [www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf).

### California Physical Fitness Test

2021-22 School Year

#### Percentage of Students Participating In Each Of The Five Fitness Components

Grade	Component 1:	Component 2:	Component 3:	Component 4:	Component 5:
	Aerobic Capacity	Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Flexibility
5	97.1%	95.2%	95.2%	95.2%	96.2%

## Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

### Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

2021-22 School Year

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	569	551	255	46.30%
Female	276	271	114	42.10%
Male	293	280	141	50.40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00%
Asian	20	19	8	42.10%
Black or African American	56	53	32	60.40%
Filipino	17	16	5	31.30%
Hispanic or Latino	411	401	183	45.60%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	10	10	5	50.00%
Two or More Races	31	28	9	32.10%
White	24	24	13	54.20%
English Learners	197	192	86	44.80%
Foster Youth	5	5	2	40.00%
Homeless	3	3	1	33.30%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	456	444	211	47.50%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.00%
Students with Disabilities	79	75	37	49.30%



### SARC Reporting in the 2020–21 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

### Options

The CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students (grades 5, 8 and high school)

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standard on the CAASPP – California Science Test (CAST) and the California Alternate Assessment for Science (CAA for Science) for grades 5, 8, and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard					Two-Year Data	
	Heights ES		Pittsburg USD		California	
Subject	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22
Science	▲	12.63%	▲	14.89%	28.50%	29.47%

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard					Two-Year Data	
	Heights ES		Pittsburg USD		California	
Subject	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22	20-21	21-22
English language arts/literacy	*	28%	*	33%	*	47%
Mathematics	*	22%	*	15%	*	33%

▲ This school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

\* Data for 2020–21 are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020–21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020–21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020–21 school year to other school years.

### Statewide Assessments

**Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).



### CAASPP by Student Group: Science, English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the percentage of students that met or exceeded state standards in science, English language arts/literacy and mathematics for the school by student groups.

The “percentage met or exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.


## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science (grade 5)

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards

2021-22 School Year

Science					
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	98	95	96.94%	3.06%	12.63%
Female	48	46	95.83%	4.17%	15.22%
Male	50	49	98.00%	2.00%	10.20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Black or African American	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Filipino	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Hispanic or Latino	71	71	100.00%	0.00%	9.86%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Two or more races	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
White	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
English Learners	24	24	100.00%	0.00%	4.17%
Foster Youth	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Homeless	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Military	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	70	68	97.14%	2.86%	7.35%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.









### CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grades 3-5)

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards					2021-22 School Year
English Language Arts					
Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	268	260	97.01%	2.99%	28.46%
Female	140	136	97.14%	2.86%	33.09%
Male	128	124	96.88%	3.12%	23.39%
American Indian or Alaska Native	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Black or African American	23	21	91.30%	8.70%	28.57%
Filipino	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Hispanic or Latino	203	200	98.52%	1.48%	25.50%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Two or more races	14	13	92.86%	7.14%	23.08%
White	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
English Learners	72	71	98.61%	1.39%	9.86%
Foster Youth	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Homeless	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Military	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	195	191	97.95%	2.05%	21.99%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Students with Disabilities	40	39	97.50%	2.50%	5.13%

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grades 3-5)


## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards

2021-22 School Year

## Mathematics

Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested	Percentage Not Tested	Percentage Met or Exceeded
All students	268	258	96.27%	3.73%	21.71%
Female	140	135	96.43%	3.57%	21.48%
Male	128	123	96.09%	3.91%	21.95%
American Indian or Alaska Native	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Black or African American	23	21	91.30%	8.70%	9.52%
Filipino	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Hispanic or Latino	203	200	98.52%	1.48%	21.00%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Two or more races	14	11	78.57%	21.43%	36.36%
White	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
English Learners	72	70	97.22%	2.78%	10.00%
Foster Youth	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Homeless	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Military	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	195	188	96.41%	3.59%	20.21%
Students receiving Migrant Education services	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Students with Disabilities	40	39	97.50%	2.50%	5.13%

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.





### Textbooks and Instructional Materials

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees, adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks and instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials List		2022-23 School Year
Subject	Textbook	Adopted
Reading/language arts	<i>Reading Wonders</i> , Macmillan McGraw Hill ELD <i>Reading Wonders</i> , Macmillan McGraw Hill (K-5)	2017
Mathematics	<i>Go Math!</i> , Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (K-5)	2016
Science	Amplify Education, Amplify Science (K-5)	2022
History/social science	History/Social Science for California, Scott Foresman (K-5)	2006

### Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject		2022-23 School Year
Heights ES	Percentage Lacking	
Reading/language arts	0%	
Mathematics	0%	
Science	0%	
History/social science	0%	
Visual and performing arts	0%	
Foreign language	0%	
Health	0%	

### Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

Quality of Textbooks	
2022-23 School Year	
Criteria	Yes/No
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list?	Yes

### Currency of Textbooks

This table displays the date when the most recent hearing was held to adopt a resolution on the sufficiency of instructional materials.

Currency of Textbooks	
2022-23 School Year	
Data collection date	9/16/2022

### Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



## Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional development including ELA and math trainings, cross-cultural language and academic-development ELD and data protocol
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- Summer programs
- Credit recovery programs

## School Facility Good Repair Status

The table shows the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor.

School Facility Good Repair Status		2022-23 School Year
Items Inspected	Repair Status	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas leaks, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and HVAC)	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical systems	Good	
<b>Restrooms/fountains:</b> Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains	Good	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire safety, emergency systems, hazardous materials	Good	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural condition, roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school grounds	Good	
<b>Overall summary of facility conditions</b>	Exemplary	
<b>Date of the most recent school site inspection</b>	5/10/2022	



## School Facilities

We opened the doors to our new building on August 20, 2014. There are 28 classrooms, a learning center, one computer lab, a science lab, a library, a PE room and a multipurpose room. There are three full-time custodians.

All classrooms have LCD projectors and document cameras. Each computer lab is equipped with 35-40 computers for students.

The entire school is fenced in for optimal safety and is considered a closed campus. All visitors must enter the office to sign in and receive a visitor's badge.


Students enter the school in one local area and are dismissed in the same area. Measure L, which passed in 2010, provided the funding for the new building. We are so fortunate to have a supportive community that passed a bond providing our students with a fully functioning building.

Our site is inspected monthly according to the guidelines generated by the Williams settlement decision. At this time, we have no safety-related discrepancies that have not been corrected. The site administrator and the custodial staff address school cleanliness daily. Restrooms are cleaned routinely and discrepancies are noted, work orders created and corrections made in a timely manner. School grounds and facilities are adequate for students and staff.

## California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

*"Heights Elementary will seek to enable scholars to soar to new heights as we know, value, and give access to high expectations and culturally responsive teaching."*





### Teacher Preparation and Placement

The teacher data displayed in this SARC is from the 2020-21 and the 2021-22 school years. This table displays the number and percent of teacher authorization/assignment as well as the total number and percent of teaching positions at the school, district, and state levels. For questions concerning the assignment of teachers outside their subject area of competence or the credential status of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at <https://www.ctc.ca.gov/>.

Teacher Preparation and Placement					2020-21 School Year	
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	28.5	100.0%	465.3	89.2%	228,366.1	83.1%
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.0	0.0%	5.2	1.0%	4,205.9	1.5%
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)</b>	0.0	0.0%	13.9	2.7%	11,216.7	4.1%
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)</b>	0.0	0.0%	15.9	3.0%	12,115.8	4.4%
<b>Unknown</b>	0.0	0.0%	21.1	4.1%	18,854.3	6.9%
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	28.5	100.0%	521.5	100.0%	274,759.1	100.0%

Teacher Preparation and Placement					2021-22 School Year	
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	27.1	99.1%	449.5	85.0%	234,405.2	84.0%
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.0	0.0%	16.0	3.0%	4,853.0	1.7%
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)</b>	0.2	0.7%	19.7	3.7%	12,001.5	4.3%
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)</b>	0.0	0.2%	17.5	3.3%	11,953.1	4.3%
<b>Unknown</b>	0.0	0.0%	26.1	5.0%	15,831.9	5.7%
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	27.4	100.0%	529.0	100.0%	279,044.8	100.0%

Note: The data in these tables is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsupflash201.asp>.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.





## Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data	
2021-22 School Year	
	Ratio
<b>Pupils to Academic counselors</b>	1,000:1
<b>Support Staff</b>	<b>FTE</b>
<b>Counselor (academic, social/behavioral or career development)</b>	3.9
<b>Library media teacher (librarian)</b>	1.0
<b>Library media services staff (paraprofessional)</b>	0.0
<b>Psychologist</b>	0.4
<b>Social worker</b>	0.0
<b>Nurse</b>	1.0
<b>Speech/language/hearing specialist</b>	0.6
<b>Resource specialist (nonteaching)</b>	1.0

## Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of authorization/assignments of teachers as well as the total number of teachers without credentials and misassignments at the school level. For questions concerning the permits, waivers, and misassignment of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at <https://www.ctc.ca.gov/>.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	Two-Year Data	
	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Authorization/Assignment</b>		
<b>Permits and Waivers</b>	0.0	0.2
<b>Misassignments</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Vacant Positions</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	0.0	0.2

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of teachers and the total out-of-field teachers at the school level.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Administrator's Assignment Manual at <https://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals>.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field	Two-Year Data	
	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Indicator</b>		
<b>Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Local Assignment Options</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	0.0	0.0

## Class Assignments

This table displays the number of teachers and the total out-of-field teachers at the school level.

Misassignment and vacant teacher position data should be available in the district's personnel office.

Class Assignments	Two-Year Data	
	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Indicator</b>		
<b>Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)</b>	0.0%	0.0%
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)</b>	0.0%	0.0%

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For information on the CalSAAS, visit the CALPADS web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/calpadsup-dflash201.asp>.

For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.



## Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2020-21 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending web page at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec). For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs). To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at [www.ed-data.org](http://www.ed-data.org).

## District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

Salary Data	2020-21 Fiscal Year	
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
<b>Beginning teacher salary</b>	\$53,504	\$54,370
<b>Midrange teacher salary</b>	\$79,901	\$82,681
<b>Highest teacher salary</b>	\$99,293	\$106,610
<b>Average elementary school principal salary</b>	\$135,148	\$135,283
<b>Average middle school principal salary</b>	\$143,300	\$141,244
<b>Average high school principal salary</b>	\$156,894	\$152,955
<b>Superintendent salary</b>	\$240,000	\$264,367
<b>Teacher salaries: percentage of budget</b>	33%	33%
<b>Administrative salaries: percentage of budget</b>	6%	5%

## Financial Data Comparison


This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison	2020-21 Fiscal Year	
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary
<b>Heights ES</b>	\$7,458	\$77,455
<b>Pittsburg USD</b>	\$8,879	\$78,313
<b>California</b>	\$6,594	\$88,358
<b>School and district: percentage difference</b>	-16.0%	-1.1%
<b>School and California: percentage difference</b>	+13.1%	-12.3%

## School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data	
2020-21 Fiscal Year	
<b>Total expenditures per pupil</b>	\$8,810
<b>Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources</b>	\$1,352
<b>Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources</b>	\$7,458
<b>Annual average teacher salary</b>	\$77,455



## Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

# Heights Elementary School

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education and school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest>. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per Education Code Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1.

All data accurate as of January 2023.

## School Accountability Report Card

PUBLISHED BY:

**SIA**  
School  
Innovations  
& Achievement  
[www.sia-us.com](http://www.sia-us.com) | 800.487.9234