



## Fitch Rates Pittsburg Unified School District, CA's \$34MM GOs 'AAA'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-16 June 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the following bonds to be issued by the Pittsburg Unified School District, California (the district):

- \$15 million general obligation (GO) bonds, election of 2014, series B (2017);
- Approximately \$18.6 million 2017 GO refunding bonds.

The bonds will be sold via negotiation during the week of June 26. Proceeds of the election of 2014, series B (2017) bonds will fund various capital needs of the district. Proceeds of the 2017 GO refunding bonds will refund outstanding debt for interest savings.

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the 'AAA' rating on the following district bonds:

- \$69.7 million 2016 GO refunding bonds.

Fitch has also upgraded the district's Issuer Default Rating (IDR) to 'AA-' from 'A+'. The upgrade reflects the correction of an error in certain historical general fund revenue data that inform the rating process as well as continued operating performance improvements. The IDR was placed on Rating Watch Positive on June 9 following the identification of the error. The Rating Watch Positive has been removed in conjunction with this upgrade.

The distinction between the 'AAA' rating on the bonds and the 'AA-' IDR reflects Fitch's assessment that bondholders are legally insulated from any operating risk of the district.

The Rating Outlook on all of the district's ratings is Stable.

### SECURITY

The bonds are payable from unlimited ad valorem property taxes levied on all taxable property in the district.

### KEY RATING DRIVERS

**SPECIAL REVENUE ANALYSIS:** The 'AAA' rating on the bonds is based on a dedicated tax analysis without regard to the district's financial operations. Fitch has been provided with legal opinions by district counsel that provide a reasonable basis for concluding that the tax revenue levied to repay the bonds would be considered 'pledged special revenues' under Section 902(2)(e) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the event of a district bankruptcy.

**STRONG TAX BASE; MODERATE DEBT:** The tax base supporting the GOs is diverse, growing at a healthy pace and unlikely to erode significantly in downturns. Tax rates are low, and debt is moderate relative to personal income and assessed value (AV).

**IDR LIMITED BY FINANCIAL OPERATIONS:** The 'AA-' IDR reflects the district's solid expenditure flexibility and elevated but still moderate long-term liability burden, in combination with the district's extensive gap-closing capacity and a constrained revenue framework.

### RATING SENSITIVITIES

**Tax Base Drives GO Rating:** The 'AAA' GO rating would be sensitive to a significant and prolonged decline in the district's tax base, which Fitch does not currently anticipate.

**Financial Performance:** The 'AA-' IDR could come under downward pressure if the district fails to maintain satisfactory financial flexibility, including reserves sufficient to withstand expected revenue volatility throughout the economic cycle, at a level consistent with the current rating level.

### CREDIT PROFILE

#### Debt Service Viewed as Special Revenue

The specific features of the district's GO bonds meet Fitch's criteria for rating special revenue obligation debt without consideration of the district's general credit quality. Fitch believes bondholders are effectively insulated from the operating risk of the district as expressed in its IDR.

Fitch sets a high bar for considering local government tax-supported debt to be secured by special revenues, which provide security that survives the filing of a municipal bankruptcy (in preservation of the lien) and benefit from relief from the automatic stay provision of the bankruptcy code. Fitch gives credit to special revenue status only if, in its view, the overall legal framework renders remote a successful challenge to the status of the debt as secured by special revenues under Section 902 (2) (e) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

Fitch has identified a number of elements it considers sufficient to reduce the incentive to challenge the special revenue status given the definitions outlined in the bankruptcy code. These include clear restrictions on the use of pledged revenues for identified projects and clear separation from the entity's operations. Fitch has undertaken an extensive review of the statutory provisions that govern the use of the pledged property tax revenues. Those provisions, along with the legal documents governing the bond issuance, provide sufficient strength for Fitch to rate the district's GO bonds higher than its IDR.

As a result, Fitch analyzes these bonds as dedicated tax bonds. This analysis focuses on the district's economy, tax base and debt burden without regard to the IDR. Fitch typically calculates the ratio of available revenues to debt service for dedicated tax bonds, but the unlimited nature of the tax rate pledge on the district's GO bonds eliminates the need for such calculations.

Growth prospects for pledged revenues are strong and track changes in taxable values in the district. Taxable values experienced a decline of nearly 20% during the last recession and remain about 9% below peak levels as of 2017. Over the long-term, however, the district's tax base expanded at a compound annual growth rate of more than 4% between 1999 and 2017.

The ability to make debt service payments is unlikely to be reduced by expected cyclical variations in the tax base and economy. The top 10 taxpayers account for a somewhat high 22% of AV, but no taxpayer accounts for more than 6% and industry concentration is limited. Residential properties account for about 64% of total AV.

Tax-supported debt is somewhat elevated relative to the size of the tax base with overall tax-supported debt at about 9% of AV. The countywide tax rate of 1% of AV is established in the state constitution and cannot be increased. Tax override levies for overlapping jurisdictions are similarly low at a combined 0.15% of taxable AV for fiscal 2017

## IDR EXTENDS ANALYSIS TO OPERATIONS

### Economic Resource Base

The district is largely coterminous with the city of Pittsburg, population 68,000, which includes a substantial industrial base in addition to a growing residential population. The city's location approximately 40 miles from the city of San Francisco affords residents access to the region's strong and diverse employment opportunities and has contributed to steady residential growth in recent years.

### Revenue Framework: 'a' factor assessment

District revenues have outpaced overall U.S. economic performance due to both state economic improvement and rising enrollment; however, the district's legal ability to raise revenues is constrained by Proposition 13, which requires voter approval for tax increases.

### Expenditure Framework: 'aa' factor assessment

The natural pace of expenditure growth appears likely to be in line with to marginally above revenue growth based on the district's current spending profile. Management demonstrated a solid ability to adjust spending to match revenues during the last recession and carrying costs for debt and retiree benefits are moderate.

### Long-Term Liability Burden: 'a' factor assessment

Pension liabilities and overall debt are elevated but still in the moderate range relative to the district's resource base, in part due to substantial overlapping tax increment debt issued for redevelopment purposes.

### Operating Performance: 'aaa' factor assessment

District reserves provide very strong gap-closing capacity relative to revenue declines anticipated in a moderate recession. Budget management during the current economic recovery has been solid, with consistent efforts to maintain financial flexibility.

## IDR CREDIT SUMMARY

The district operates eight elementary schools, three junior high schools, a comprehensive high school, and an alternative education high school. Total enrollment is approximately 11,500 students and the district has experienced steady enrollment gains for the past 10 years.

### Revenue Framework

Approximately three-quarters of the district's revenues are allocated through the state of California's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF). Such revenues have increased strongly in recent years with the state's economic recovery, but growth appears likely to slow as the LCFF approaches full implementation.

Revenue growth over the past 10 years has exceeded overall U.S. economic performance as a result of enrollment gains, LCFF implementation, and improving state finances. Fitch expects that district enrollment and revenues will continue to expand over time, in line with residential development and population growth, as well as state economic performance.

The district has no meaningful independent revenue raising flexibility due to California constitutional tax limitations. The district may not raise its operating property tax rate under any circumstance, and it may only raise a parcel tax with voter approval.

District voters approved the extension of an existing parcel tax in 2016 that raises approximately \$1.2 million per year (about 1% of general fund revenues). Authorization for the tax expires in 2024.

### Expenditure Framework

Personnel costs for teachers and staff comprise the vast majority of district expenditures.

Fitch expects expenditure growth to be in line with to moderately above expected revenue growth, in the absence of policy action, based on the district's current spending profile.

The district retains a solid level of spending flexibility. The collective bargaining environment provides moderate support for expenditure flexibility. Management must meet and confer with its unionized workforce, but the elected school board can impose terms if labor and management cannot reach an agreement after a structured bargaining process.

Fixed carrying costs related to debt service, other post-employment benefits (OPEB) and actuarially determined pension contributions are equivalent to a moderate 19% of governmental expenditures. Pension contribution rates are scheduled to rise over the next several years but will remain manageable.

### Long-Term Liability Burden

The district's long-term liability burden for overall debt and pensions is elevated but still in the moderate range at about 31% of personal income. Direct debt of \$260 million represents about 38% of the overall burden. The district will retain approximately \$40 million in voter-approved GO authorization after this transaction and long-term liabilities are expected to remain moderate.

The district participates in two state-funded pension systems, both of which are mandating increasing employer contributions over the next few years to improve their funded ratios. Assuming 6% investment returns, Fitch estimates the ratio of pension assets to liabilities at approximately 62% for the district across its two plans.

### Operating Performance

The district's unrestricted reserves of \$13 million at the end of fiscal 2016, equal to nearly 11% of general fund spending, provide significant gap closing ability relative to revenue declines anticipated in a moderate recession. Based on the district's performance in the last recession, Fitch expects that revenue declines would likely be offset with expenditure reductions. Over the long term Fitch expects the district to maintain relatively balanced operations and available fund balances above its policy level of 6% of general fund revenues.

District reserves have increased in the years following the great recession, and there has been no material deferral of required spending. The district budgets conservatively and end of year fund balances typically exceed budgeted levels.

### Contact:

#### Primary Analyst

Stephen Walsh

Director

+1-415-732-7573

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

650 California Street, 4th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94108

#### Secondary Analyst

Karen Ribble

Senior Director

+1-415-732-5611

Committee Chairperson  
Laura Porter  
Managing Director  
+1-212-908-0575

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: [elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com](mailto:elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com).

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

### **Applicable Criteria**

U.S. Public Finance Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 31 May 2017) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/898466>)

### **Additional Disclosures**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dodd-frank-disclosure/1025257>)

Solicitation Status (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/1025257#solicitation>)

Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM) (<https://www.fitchratings.com>). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of

Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

#### **Solicitation Status**

Fitch Ratings was paid to determine each credit rating announced in this Rating Action Commentary (RAC) by the obligatory being rated or the issuer, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated, except for the following:

**Endorsement Policy** - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.