

Board Meeting Agenda (Wednesday, October 24, 2018)

Members present

Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Laura Canciamilla, Joseph Arenivar

1. Opening Items - 5:00 PM

1.01 Call To Order at 5:06 p.m.

1.02 Public Comment On Closed Session Agenda - None

Adjourned to closed session at 5:06 p.m.

2. Closed Session

Report / Information / Discussion: 2.01 Closed Session Agenda (Ms. Gonzales)

3. Student Recognition - 6:00 PM

3.01 Pledge of Allegiance 6:08 p.m.

3.02 Student Recognition - Foothill Elementary School Reclassified ELD Students (Ms. Nelson)

Ms. Yvonne Nelson presented certificates to those students being reclassified and fluent in English. Everyone is very excited to be here.

4. Recall to Open Session - 6:30 PM

4.01 Pledge of Allegiance 6:31 p.m.

4.02 Agenda Reorganization

Dr. Schulze reorganized the following Items

Action Items:

9.01 (Charter Petition for the Establishment of the Making Waves Academy Charter School)

9.02 (Financial Impact of Tentative Agreement)

9.03 (Ratification of the Tentative Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding between Pittsburg Unified School District and Pittsburg Education Association) are being moved to the beginning of the Information section.

5. Closed Session Report / Action

5.01 Personnel Action Report (Ms. Gonzales)

Ms. Gonzales reported that the Personnel Actions were approved as presented.

Motion by Laura Canciamilla, second by Duane Smith

Final Resolution: Motion Carries 5/0

5.02 Expulsion Case 19-03 (Dr. Frazier-Myers)

Motion by Joseph Arenivar, second by George Miller

Final Resolution: Motion Carries 5/0

5.03 Readmission Case 100-31 (Dr. Frazier-Myers)

Motion by Laura Canciamilla, second by Duane Smith

Final Resolution: Motion Carries 5/0

5.04 Litigation

Dr. Schulze - Nothing to report.

6. Comments

6.01 Public Comments: Items Not on the Agenda

6:35 p.m.

Mark Lindy - Resident of Pittsburg and parent. Mr. Lindy is concerned about what the bond measure is going to do to Pittsburg. This is a financial burden.

6.02 Student Board Member Comments (Ms. Gonzales)

October 12th was Hispanic Heritage Celebration at the CAB. Powder Puff was on that day too.

No school on October 15th

On October 17th, we went to the Senior Center – we played bean ball baseball with the residents.

On October 19th, we had our home game with Liberty Union High School

October 22nd was a fashion show rally. It was a green challenge for Homecoming.

On the 23rd, we voted for the Pirateer and Ugly Man.

The Car Parade is this Friday, October 26th at 1:00 p.m.

Homecoming is this Saturday, October 27th.

6.03 Superintendent Comments (Dr. Schulze)

Welcome everyone, and congratulations to our Lady Pirates Volleyball Team. They made it to the North Coast Playoffs for the first time that anyone can remember. Thank you to them for their representation.

Thank you to Mr. Strom for coordinating and reinstating the tradition of lunch in celebration of the 100th Big Little Game between us and Antioch High School. I am looking forward to that tradition. Luncheon is tomorrow with the Senior Players and Senior Cheerleaders from both schools.

Congratulations to the Site Support Services Team for their green efforts. We were recognized for our projects in support of the environment, but also as a district leader. Folks will be going down to The Green Summit the beginning of next week.

6.04 Board Member Comments (Trustees)

Joseph Arenivar – I appreciate the student member not mentioning the score of the Liberty game.

George Miller - I was at the Martin Luther King unveiling of the statue last week. Ms. Stevenson did a great job putting that together. I am really impressed and proud of the fact that we have the only Martin Luther King statue in Contra Costa County.

We have had three different bond meetings, and the latest was Monday night. Only one person showed up. If there are questions about the bond, we can answer the questions. There were concerns that we are getting the money right now and don't know what to do with the money, but that is not the case. Our schools need the money. Our schools are over 60 years old and we are just now refurbish them. Let the citizens of Pittsburg decide.

The Facilities Meeting yesterday. It was a fantastic meeting. Again, the public is invited to these meetings. We work on who/what/how we are going to prioritize and get the projects completed. We want to be transparent and let the community know what we are doing. We want you to ask questions. We want to resolve any issues. If we can't, we can direct you to the people that can answer the questions.

Dr. Canciamilla – I see a lot of new faces, so I want to say that normally what we do here is talk about the activities we have done since the last board meeting.

I had a meeting at the high school on the 17th to continue working on the scholarship process. We worked on the survey, and I received my survey in the mail as a community donor, so I know we are moving on that. I am hoping we can bring the results of our Ad Hoc Task Force presentation to the November 14th board meeting as Information.

The Martin Luther King dedication for the statue was exciting and a Pittsburg Pride kind of day. It was great to see so much attention brought to such an important project. As we all said that day, it's something we feel is our vision as a community and as a District. It was nice to see it in the spotlight, so bravo.

On October 22nd, I was also at the bond meeting. Although I have been to all of the other bond meetings, I can't hear it enough.

Yesterday, I was at Making Waves. I went to visit the Charter School we will be talking about tonight. I would like to thank Dr. Ash and the other members for their hospitality and for the time they took to take us through the facility. The building I was in was the 2nd day for being open and the 1st day students were in the classrooms. So that was fun to see.

At the statue dedication, I know some of the reporters were asking about the Green Award as well. I would like to underscore the fact that each of these activities and projects save us money as a district, so that more money can go towards serving our kids and students. We truly appreciate the Facilities personnel for their efforts to be efficient and stewards in serving our kids.

Lastly, I would like to thank everyone for changing the order of the agenda. I am leaving for my 50th college reunion. They are changing some of the action items, so they can accommodate me. A 50th reunion only comes once, so thank you for your understanding on that.

Duane Smith - I would like to follow up and say that the statue unveiling was such a proud moment for Pittsburg to be the only school to be named after Dr. Martin Luther King and then to have a statue of him. If you haven't seen it, the kids see it when they walk through the door. They have a dream that they can look forward to. We look forward to that in our scholars. I went by Hillview JHS and visited some of the classes, Special Education, Math and Science classes were going well, and Hillview is progressing and moving forward and getting back to the family environment.

De'Shawn Woolridge – Because of the reorganization of the agenda, we will move to Action Item 9.01.

9.01 ROLL Call: Resolution 18-32, Charter Petition for the Establishment of the Making Waves Academy Charter School

Dr. Schulze - What the board has before you, and on the agenda, and for those of you following online, is our recommendation to deny the petition for the Making Waves Charter. There are a number of reasons for the denial, and we have our attorney, Elizabeth Mori present for questions. In general, the most significant reason is the lack of specificity around the services for students with disabilities in identifying the responsibilities for those services in the petition that was submitted. There were other issues identified in the background and attachments included in the board item.

De'Shawn Woolridge – Ms. Mori will go first and then open it to Making Waves, and onto speaker cards.

Ms. Mori - In the interest of time, we put together a narrative in the board packet that includes the detail regarding the districts recommendation. The detail and findings are attached. In summary, there are a few basis of which we are making the recommendation. First, Educational Program, finding under Education Code 47605, unsound educational program made up of omissions regarding the student's daily schedule. It is unclear how long the school day lasts and therefore, it is unclear whether the Petitioner will meet the minimum instructional minute requirements provided by law. There is insufficient detail around Science and Social Studies curriculum in the Petition. As the Superintendent stated, Special Education remains a concern for us in terms of how Making Waves intends to be a school of the district for Special Education, but how that would work. How the program would be delivered, and how Making Waves would follow district policies and applicable SELPA policies, but no explanation on how that would work and understanding what those policies are for Pittsburg. Secondly, making waves did submit an 11-page document with answers to the board questions, but the Petition itself is the contract. It is the standards by which you hold them accountable. We felt there was insufficient information in there to garner a recommendation for approval. One of the areas to be found of concern were the detail around financial and budget information. There were significant discrepancies regarding expenditures and revenues and a lack of detail. There are significant Other expenses making up of 30% of their total expected expenditures in the budget year. The law also requires a cash flow analysis, which was not included in the Petition. Signatures were another area. One could assume that 12 classrooms would cover what they intend to do their first year, but because there was not enough detail in the Petition itself that indicates classes and grade levels they intend to operate in that first year, it's unclear six signatures of teachers is sufficient under the law as anticipated staff (50%). Two of the six teachers that signed the Petition are also current employees of making waves in their Richmond campus. It doesn't address whether those teachers would be moving to the Pittsburg campus, or how that relates. There were also findings on the specific 15 elements Ed Code requires and they overlap with the finding in Special Education.

Making Waves – Dr. Ash thanked the Board for the diligent consideration of the Petition. We have established a wonderful working relationship with the Board, the Superintendent and the District. And so we are glad to receive your findings. They are helpful and instructive on where the District sees where we can make improvements, and that is the spirit at which we approach

tonight's meeting. In addition to the 11 page document, today we have brought key staff. We are prepared to answer any questions you may have.

Dr. Canciamilla - I know that as we have gone to annual conference and talked at the California School Boards Association about how you to create good working relationships and partnerships with consortia, and charter schools, they talk about how critical important it is to have everything spelled out ahead of time. So when the attorney talked about the Petition, not the responses that drive the relations. This is something we have heard quite a lot in sessions we have gone to. It's really critical that the relationship is strong enough and a lot of the problem solving is done early. This is the 3rd charter school petition that has come before the Board, and in each case, the Petition was not complete. This is the first time we have had a charter petition where we have had good conversations and a willingness to interact and learn from each other. This was much more positive than any other charter that has come before us. I want to say that quite frankly. However, it's that document. Staff will tell you when they come without everything written out with a project request, but they have it separate from the board item, that doesn't work. It should be part of the presentation so that it is in the written document. We want to be transparent to the community about whatever decision we make. I wish the process had been different and that the petition time of the 30 days and then the 30 days didn't start clicking on the until those conversations had taken place. It's frustrating to me knowing that that didn't happen here. So it's problematic, even though the interpersonal and the professional interaction is far and above better than any other experience I have had. So I commend you for that.

Dr. Ash - Dr. Laura Canciamilla., let me say thank you. You have been an avid partner in this process. You have been objective, thoughtful, and fair as have all of the board members. We too had hoped we would have been able to provide the additional documentation/amendments. We wanted to understand the districts feedback on the Charter. I agree, the legislature did not give us sufficient time in a 60 day process that is triggered so tightly to make sure all of these things are smoothed out. We still remain open and see clearly what the district sees as deficiencies in the Charter. We remain committed to Pittsburg. We remain willing to take the feedback of the district and provide changes to the Charter. We are glad to have the districts written feedback. It gives us a clear roadmap on how the district see the deficiencies of the Charter.

Dr. Canciamilla brought up the option of a 30-day extension. And is there any interest in doing that.

De'Shawn Woolridge would like to hear from any speakers before that conversation.

Ms. Zola Rodgers - Parent and resident of Pittsburg, living in San Marcos. When hearing about Making Waves, was excited, but disappointed the recommendation is being a recommendation for denial. I would like to see the 30-day extension option.

Tammy Carr - I was glad to hear the information I did hear to the apprehension to the petition. I thought it was well thought out Dr. Canciamilla, what is the 30 days?

Dr. Canciamilla – There is a procedure to say, if both sides agree they can extend 30 days to talk some more about the deficiencies. It is just one of the options.

Ms. Carr - 30 days from board meeting or presentation?

Dr. Canciamilla - Not entirely sure. I read it on the Oakland USD web site. I believe 30 days from this board meeting.

Ms. Carr - in thinking about that, I continue to hope that this petition gets denied. I know the students in our schools are very well cared for. I believe we are well able to help comparatively.

De'Shawn Woolridge – There are not any other speaker cards, what is the pleasure of the board? Dr. Canciamilla brought up the extension of 30 more days.

Dr. Schulze - I am going to invite the attorney back up to address what that would be.

Ms. Mori, Attorney – The extension is a mutual agreement for an additional 30 days. All dates are triggered from the date the Petition was originally received.

De'Shawn Woolridge - So we have 0 - 60, and that 30 will start at 61-90, not at this point that this board would make a decision. Question, at that point if the board decides to extend, it would be a motion to extend 30 days?

Ms. Mori - The board can't do that, the petitioner would have that right as well. You can have a discussion and they could verbally agree at this meeting if that was the pleasure of the board and at that point, it would be deferred and brought back at another board meeting.

De'Shawn Woolridge – What is the pleasure of the board?

Mr. Arenivar - I don't want to extend.

Mr. Smith - I am not in favor of extending it either. I don't think we had a big outcry from our local parents and students. It was a small amount of parents/residents that came out for the last charter and this charter.

Mr. Miller - I am in agreement to not extending it. The community did not show a lot of interest.

Dr. Canciamilla - Just to clarify, this is a process issue and something I wanted to ask the board if they were interested in doing. So the people in the audience know and to clarify, the board cannot talk about this outside of this board meeting, so it has to be here and in public that we talk about it. I have to agree, there was not a huge surge of parents or students here. I just wanted to be sure the board knew that was one of the options. I am good with the decision.

Dr. Ash - I thank the board for its deliberation. One of the reasons we didn't bring the calvary was not to intentionally overwhelm the board. We appreciate that feedback. We are grateful for the board thoughts. If this is the last time I appear before you, let me say to you, thank you.

Pittsburg Unified School District recommends that the Governing Board adopt Resolution 18-32 denying the Petition to establish Making Waves Academy Charter School.

Motion by Joseph Arenivar, second by Duane Smith.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Laura Canciamilla, Joseph Arenivar

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

Mr. Woolridge thanked everybody for coming, and thank you to Dr. Ash and staff.

9.02 Financial Impact of Tentative Agreement (Dr. Schimmel)

Dr. Schimmel presented. The Board must take action on passing AB1200 before your next agenda item. With the law we have to go out three years. We submitted our budget to the Contra Costa County Office of Education, to the Associate Superintendent, Mr. Bill Clark, and he approved AB 1200 that said financially you can withstand and pay for those increases.

In the 2018-2019 column, the \$-3,900,734.05 must be taken away from your beginning balance. You are spending that much more than you took in this year. We do have in the place the Boards 3% reserve. We have the state required 3% reserve. So on June 30, 2019, the district is positively certified.

2019-2020 – The total expenditures are about the same as this year. There is no provision for increase with salary other than paying for PERS/STRS benefits. The 3rd line is in deficit spending almost \$5mm next year. It took several years to save that, and we are expending the boards reserve in one year.

2020-2021 - You will see expenses go up again, but if we don't correct our deficit spending, we will run out of money. That was pointed out in Mr. Clark's letter to you. We would be \$1.8mm short of having the 3% reserve and that would trigger a negative certification.

Let's back track to this year. What we have on our side is time. We have two years to adjust. Enrollment may go up, and we are looking at some energy savings. But, in all candor, we will need to look at repositioning some things. The county superintendent and staff were impressed with Pittsburg and our Superintendent that we will have the time to make the necessary corrections. Before you can take action on a contract, you have to pass this (AB1200).

Dr. Canciamilla – Comment, I remember when we went into the great recession in 2007, we realized we were going to be having shortfalls. What we did was cut programs and personnel (by attrition for the most part), and that made all the difference, so we didn't have to do the terrible things happen in other districts, furloughs, etc. As I look at this, and our retirement costs going up, it seems as if we need to start as quickly as possible to prevent the accumulative damage.

Dr. Schimmel - the more you can do now, the better you will be next year. The goal is to be positively certified year three.

Dr. Canciamilla - When I go to statewide school board conferences, most of my fellow delegates are cutting this year or started last year.

Dr. Schimmel – Your team is already working on that.

Duane Smith – We have been managing very well, and not required to cut student program,, so in looking ahead e have time. I think its important for our community, teachers, and staff to come out so we get a good sense of what people feel are the right things to do.

Dr. Schimmel - We are not asking for immediate action. We want to present a very well thought out program. It is my fiduciary responsibility to share with you that you cannot continue on the same pattern.

Staff recommends the Board approve the fiscal impact of the Tentative Agreement between PUSD and PEA.

Motion by Joseph Arenivar, second by Duane Smith.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Laura Canciamilla, Joseph Arenivar

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9.03 Ratification of the Tentative Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding between Pittsburg Unified School District (PUSD) and Pittsburg Education Association (PEA) (Ms. Gonzales)

Ms. Gonzales - Now with item 9.02 being approved, I am bringing to you the Ratification of the PEA Tentative Agreement. We reached an agreement on October 3, 2018. We needed to take the time to document our understanding of the Tentative Agreement, so myself and the CTA Representative met twice and communicated throughout last week. The breakdown of the fiscal impact is \$3,603,137.00. I want to highlight that CSEA has already received the 2%, and the 'me too' would include the one-time payment of \$250 and the one month of retro benefits, and the increase in cash in lieu.

Dr. Schulze – In addition, the recommendation is pending the Ratification by PEA.

Staff recommends that the Board approve the Tentative Agreement and MOU between Pittsburg Unified School District (PUSD) and Pittsburg Education Association (PEA)

Motion by George Miller, second by Duane Smith.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Laura Canciamilla, Joseph Arenivar

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

7. Information / Reports / Discussion

7.01 Single Plans for Student Achievement (Ms. Chen)

Ms. Chen presented the purpose and process for the Single Plans for Student Achievement. The Plans are living documents.

Questions:

De'Shawn Woolridge asked about outcomes of their indicators.

Ms. Chen referred Mr. Woolridge to page 31, where the data and analysis is located (Los Medanos Elementary). The template is designed to provide this data after signatures. Provide in this one is three years of academic data.

Dr. Canciamilla - For those who are new, I used to do this kind of thing with student school plans as a consultant. One of the things I would look for is alignment, goals, and indicators of success. I spoke with Ms. Chen and I will review all sites. But what I noticed at a glance is that in the first column (Strategies), things are listed, but mixed together...AVID, High Quality Instruction, Bilingual Aides, of which might be things that impact higher performance by students. Then the next column, Indicators of Success, and then it says, Performance on tests will improve by 5%, so it's as if each of those things has a nearer indicator of success. AVID as a strategy to learn study skills, or connected to the school so they will attend more often. But also, that first should be what the indicators are. Maybe a cost benefit of what you are spending on AVID to get you that 5% increase. We will have to be that specific because if we have to cut programs as we are going forward, we will need to prioritize those that meet the needs the most. The other issue I saw in the first column is that in one of the JHS's it had the AVID, high quality instruction in aides, but that is more of a result and not a strategy. The way you get high quality instruction may be specific professional development and then if that PD is seen as quality by the teachers and they use it in the classroom that may lead to the success indicators and will see the 5%. We are going to have to be more intentional and careful. Some things will have to go by the wayside and we will need to know what the priorities are.

7.02 Site Safety Plans (Mr. Alegria)

Mr. Alegria presented the Site Safety Plans. Mr. Alegria thanked all of the administrators for completing their safety plans. The plans look different than previous years. The reason for that is by the rubric's the state puts out, this one is the most compliant. Today was our first training with Stoneman Elementary. Per De'Shawn's request, we had them sign where their name is, so they understand their role. We will be training them for each of their roles so they can do the basics. We will be going by each school site and break down each of the plans.

Dr. Schulze thanked the administrators for their attendance this evening and preparing the SPSA and Safety Plans for their sites.

7.03 Annual 2017-18 Developer Fee Report (Dr. Schimmel)

Dr. Schimmel presented on the Developer Fee Report. There is a positive net change, a positive \$45,000 to be used to build new facilities.

Mr. Miller asked about who sets the fees.

Dr. Schimmel. There are three levels, and Pittsburg USD is at level I.

The levels are:

I - The legislature decides what the amount is. That is done in the even years.

II will generally double the amount of money you can collect. (Dr. Schimmel is going to look into why PUSD is not at Level II.)

III - State bonds

Dr. Schulze clarified the amount the district receives from developer fees, does not remotely meet the needs in what it costs to educate the students of the particular housing track.

7.04 Accept Proposal for CS & Associates, Inc., for the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) and Labor Compliance Program (LCP) Consulting Services for Parkside Elementary School Replacement Campus Project (Mr. Scott)

Mr. Scott explained the agreement process.

7.05 PHS Boys Basketball overnight trip - Lucille and Luis Scattini Memorial Basketball Tournament Salinas, CA Dec. 13-15, 2018 (Mr. Strom)

Mr. Molina presented the following student athletic trips, while Mr. Strom was not feeling well.

The Board of Education - Thank you for bringing the items in advance.

7.06 PHS Girls Basketball overnight trip - Lincoln High School Basketball Invitational on Dec. 27-29, 2018 (Mr. Strom)

7.07 PHS Boys & Girls Wrestling - CIF State Tournament February 21-23, 2019 (Mr. Strom)

Mr. Molina - The student athletes must qualify to attend.

7.08 PHS Track & Field - Arcadia Invitational in Arcadia, CA April 4-6, 2019 (Mr. Strom)

Mr. Molina – The overnight field trip is funded by ASB funds.

7.09 PHS Track & Field - CIF State Meet in Clovis, CA May 24-25, 2019 (Mr. Strom)

Mr. Molina – This will be the athletics budget.

7.10 Arts Curriculum (Dr. Schulze)

Dr. Schulze presented, providing clarification and information as to the Parcel Tax funds allocation process and music instruction being enjoyed by our students.

Last year we started a process for music to all of our schools, thanks to our music teachers. The teachers met throughout the year, so see how they could select some standard curriculum, and they selected this curriculum.

Duane Smith - Do we have an oversight committee for this?

Dr. Schulze – The board approved that, so Ms. Marturano and Mr. Glass will develop the form and work on that. We have to recruit for that.

Duane Smith - That will include parents?

Dr. Schulze - It could. It could be anybody living in that boundary area. One representative for each school.

7.11 Abatement of North Campus - Basement (Mr. Belasco)

Mr. Belasco brought forward the need to have abatement at the North Campus so staff can have access to the basement area for maintenance and necessary repairs. We need to work on the elevator, fire panel, etc., and there is asbestos that does not allow us to have inspectors down there.

Duane Smith - Are there other areas we should be concerned about?

Mr. Belasco - There are no other areas, at this time.

7.12 Contract with Client Advantage Group for Services Related to District-wide Copier/Printer Program (Mr. Glass)

We would like to retain Client Advantage Group. We saved \$1mm over five years. We are hoping to save \$300K over the next five years.

7.13 Quarterly Williams Uniform Complaint Report (July - September 2018) (Mr. Molina)

Mr. Molina reported 0 Williams Uniform complaints for this quarter.

8. Consent Items

8.01 Minutes of October 10, 2018 (Dr. Schulze)

Resolution: Approval of the October 10, 2018 - Board Meeting Minutes

Motion to approve the consent agenda in accordance with the Recommended Action of each item.

Motion by Duane Smith, second by George Miller.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

8.02 Revisions of Board Bylaws 9121 - President, and 9240 Board Development (Dr. Canciamilla)

8.03 PROCEDURAL: Approval of Consent Agenda

Resolution: Motion to approve the consent agenda in accordance with the Recommended Action of each item.

Motion to approve the consent agenda in accordance with the Recommended Action of each item.

Motion by Duane Smith, second by George Miller.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9. Action Items (Most action items have previously come before the Board as information and discussed at a previous meeting).

9.04 ROLL CALL: Resolution #18-33 Teacher Consents (Ms. Gonzales)

Staff recommends that the Board approve Resolution #18-33, allowing a teacher to teach subject matter classes outside of their credential area.

Motion by Duane Smith, second by George Miller.

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9.05 Accept Bid from Pinguelo Construction, Inc. for the Highlands Elementary School Window In-Kind Replacement Project (Mr. Scott)

Staff recommends that the Board accept the bid from Pinguelo Construction, Inc., for the Highlands Elementary School Window Replacement Project

Motion by Joseph Arenivar, second by George Miller.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9.06 Reduction or Discontinuance of Classified Services, Resolution 18-31 (Ms. Gonzales)

Dr. Canciamilla left the board meeting after Item 7.01.

For approval of the elimination of the Senior Project Inspector position.

Motion by Joseph Arenivar, second by Alexa Gonzales (Student) / confirmed by George Miller

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9.07 Denial of Claim 180058 - (Dr. Schimmel)

It is being recommended that the Board deny claim number 180058.

Motion by Duane Smith, second by Alexa Gonzales (Student) / confirmed by George Miller.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

9.08 Acceptance of Donation from AT&T Your Cause, LLC. to Martin Luther King, Jr., Junior High School (Mrs. Stevenson)

Staff recommends that the Board accept the donation from AT&T Your Cause, LLC to Martin Luther King, Jr., Junior High School in the amount of \$25.00

Motion by Alexa Gonzales (Student) / confirmed by Duane Smith, second by George Miller.

Final Resolution: Motion Carries

Yea: Duane Smith, George Miller, De'Shawn Woolridge, Joseph Arenivar

Not Present at Vote: Laura Canciamilla

Yea: Alexa Gonzales, Student Board Member

10. Communications

10.01 Comments from the Public, Community Organizations, Employee Representatives

Schulze - A community member dropped off a proposal to myself and the board, and did not stay. It is a written proposal to have a veteran's hall on the naval weapons station.

Jessica Alexander - CSEA - I wanted to present the Paraeducator conference coming up this March 6th - 8th, 2019. I wanted you to have the information and to give consideration for

attendance. I just spoke with PEA, and she is going to look into possibly sending PEA Members.

Schulze - We will work with you on who else to send. And congratulations on your new grand babies.

11. Board Requests

11.01 Information Requests

None

11.02 Agenda Requests

De'Shawn Woolridge – I would like information on our Behavior Specialists and if we have enough to carry the caseload.

12. Next Board Meeting / Future Events / Adjournment

12.01 Next Regular Board Meeting - November 14, 2018

Adjournment at 8:37 p.m.